Phenolic Constituents, Antimicrobial, Antioxidant, and Anticancer Activities of Ethyl Acetate and *n*-Butanol Extracts of *Senna italica*

Omar M. Khalaf¹, Mosad A. Ghareeb^{2*}, Amal M. Saad², Hassan M. F. Madkour¹,
Ahmed K. El-Ziaty¹ and Mohamed S. Abdel-Aziz³

¹Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, El-Khalifa El-Mamoun, 11566 Abbassia, Cairo, Egypt

²Medicinal Chemistry Department, Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, Kornaish El-Nile, 12411 Warrak El-Hadar, Imbaba (P.O. 30), Giza, Egypt

³Microbial Chemistry Department, Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Division, National Research Centre, El Behoos Street 33, Dokki-Giza 12622, Egypt

Received: 12 November 2017; accepted: 04 December 2017

Different solvent extracts of the aerial parts of *Senna italica* (Mill.) were investigated for their chemical constituents and biological activities. Moreover, bio-guided fractionation led to isolation and identification of six compounds, namely: physcion (1), emodin (2), 2-methoxy-emodin-6-*O*- β -D-glucopyranoside (3), 1-hydroxy-2-acetyl-3-methyl-6-hydroxy-8-methoxynaphthalene (tinnevellin) (4), quercetin 3-*O*- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 6)- β -D-glucopyranoside (rutin) (5), and 1,6,8-trihydroxy-3-methoxy-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydroanthracene (6). The chemical structures of these compounds were established via 1D and 2D ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy. Ethyl acetate and *n*-butanol extracts as well as compound 3 were evaluated for their anticancer activity against tumor cell lines. The tested extracts showed a moderate to weak activity, while compound 3 showed a moderate activity against human liver cancer (Hep G2) and breast cancer (MCF-7) cell lines with IC₅₀ values of 57.5 and 42.3 μ g/mL, respectively. Both ethyl acetate and *n*-butanol extracts exhibited antimicrobial activities with different strengths, i.e., ethyl acetate exhibited antimicrobial activity against seven test microbes while *n*-butanol extract showed antimicrobial activity against all tested microbes. This is the first report for the isolation of compound 3 as a new compound from *S. italica* growing in Egypt.

Keywords: *Senna italica*, antimicrobial, anticancer, ABTS, anthraquinones

Introduction

Family *Fabaceae/Leguminosae*, commonly known as the legume, comprises about 730 genera and more than 19,000 species [1]. *Senna* is an important genus of flowering plants, comprising nearly of 350 species. It is widely distributed in tropical and subtropical zones [2, 3]. This genus is known to be rich in different secondary metabolites, especially anthraquinones [4]. Physcion, chrysophanol, 10,10'-chrysophanol bianthrone, 1,1,8,8'-tetrahydroxy-6'-methoxy-3,3'-dimethyl-10,10'-bianthracen-9,9'-dione, and 1,1,8,8'-tetrahydroxy-7'-methoxy-3,3'-dimethyl-10,10'-bianthracen-9,9'-dione were isolated from pods of *Senna italica* growing in Sudan [4]. Moreover, 5-acetonyl-7-hydroxy-2-methylchromone, 5-acetonyl-7-hydroxy-2-hydroxymethyl-chromone, 4-(trans)-acetyl-3,6,8-trihydroxy-3-methyldihydronaphthalenone, and 4-(cis)-acetyl-3,6,8-trihydroxy-3-methyldihydronaphthalenone were isolated from leaves of *S. siamea* growing in Thailand [5, 6]. Branco et al. (2011) reported the isolation of 2-acetyl physcion (2-acetyl-1,8-dihydroxy-6-methoxy-3-methyl-9,10 anthraquinone), chrysophanol, and chrysophanol-8-methyl ether from the bark of *Senna macranthera* growing in Brazil [6]. On the other hand, previous studies indicated that *Senna* species showed broad spectrum of biological applications such as antibacterial [7], anti-inflammatory [8], antitrypanosomal [9], antiprotozoal [10], antioxidant [11], and antiproliferative [12]. Anthraquinones are known by their vital pharmacological activities including antioxidant [13], antimalarial, antituberculosis [14], and antimicrobial [15]. Also, many authors have studied the anticancer activity of anthraquinones against different tumor cell lines like murine B16-F10 melanoma [16], lung [17], liver (HepG-2), colon (HCT-116), kidney (MCF-7) [18],

hepatoma [19], human B-lymphoblastoid, and HL-60 [20]. Therefore, the current study was conducted to isolate and identify anthraquinone compounds from aerial parts of *S. italica* and to evaluate the antimicrobial, anticancer, and antioxidant activities of two solvent extracts as well as a new pure isolate.

Experimental

General Experimental Procedures. Hydrogen-1 nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H-NMR) and carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance (¹³C-NMR) spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance III 400 MHz for ¹H and 100 MHz for ¹³C (Bruker AG, Switzerland) with Broad Band Fluorine Observation (BBFO) Smart Probe and Bruker 400 AEON Nitrogen-Free Magnet. Data were analyzed using Topspin 3.1 Software, NMR unit at the Faculty of Pharmacy, Beni Suef University, Egypt. Chemical shifts are given in δ values (ppm) using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard. Column chromatography (CC) was carried out on Silica gel (70–230 mesh) (Merck), Polyamid 6S (Sigma-Aldrich), and Sephadex LH-20 (Uppsala, Sweden).

Plant Materials. The aerial parts of *S. italica* were collected from Alkharga Oasis desert, Alwady Algaded, Egypt during March, 2015. The plant was kindly identified by Prof. Dr. Ibrahim A. Mashaly, professor of Plant Ecology and Flora, Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University. Voucher specimens were kept in Medicinal Chemistry Department, Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, Kornaish El-Nile Str., Warrak El-Hadar, Imbaba, Giza, Egypt.

Extraction and Chromatographic Isolation. The air-dried powdered aerial parts of *S. italica* (1.5 kg) were soaked in a mixture of organic solvents composed of CH₂Cl₂–MeOH (1:1, v/v)

* Author for correspondence: m.ghareeb@tbri.gov.eg

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial purposes, provided the original author and source are credited, a link to the CC License is provided, and changes - if any - are indicated.

for 72 h at room temperature [21], and the solvent was removed via evaporation under vacuum to give a yield of 100 g of crude extract. The crude extract was dissolved in organic solvents with increasing polarities, i.e., hexane, methylene chloride, ethyl acetate, and *n*-butanol to afford 9.87, 17.42, 23.82, and 35.96 g, respectively. Methylene chloride extract (15 g) was chromatographed on a column packed with 200 g of silica gel, and the column was eluted with CH₂Cl₂, CH₂Cl₂-MeOH, and MeOH. Based on TLC studies, fractions I and II were monitored and collected using *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate solvent mixture (11:9, v/v) and both of them were further purified on preparative thin-layer chromatography (PTLC), two anthraquinones aglycones, namely, physcion (**1**) and emodin (**2**) were isolated via PTLC using (*n*-hexane-benzene-ethyl acetate; 2:0.4:2.4, v/v/v) as elution system. The ethyl acetate extract (20 g) was undergoing chromatographic isolation up on polyamide 6S column packed with 250 g of polyamide as stationary phase. The elution system was started with H₂O, H₂O-MeOH (1:1, v/v), MeOH, MeOH-AcOH (1:1, v/v), AcOH, AcOH-NH₃ (1:1, v/v), and finally with NH₃. A major fraction (III) was purified on Sephadex LH-20 sub-column eluted with (CH₂Cl₂-MeOH; 9:1, v/v) followed by PTLC using (EtOAc-MeOH-H₂O; 8.6:1:0.4, v/v/v) to give two compounds, namely, 2-methoxy-emodin-6-*O*-β-D-glucopyranoside (**3**) and 1-hydroxy-2-acetyl-3-methyl-6-hydroxy-8-methoxynaphthalene (tinnevellin) (**4**). Finally, the *n*-butanol extract (30 g) was undergoing chromatographic isolation up on polyamide 6S column. The elution system was started with H₂O, H₂O-MeOH (1:1, v/v), MeOH, MeOH-AcOH (1:1, v/v), AcOH, AcOH-NH₃ (1:1, v/v), and finally with NH₃. Two obtained major fractions (IV and V); fraction (IV) was purified on Sephadex LH-20 eluted with (CH₂Cl₂-MeOH; 1:9, v/v), followed by PTLC eluted with (ethyl acetate: MeOH; 2.1:2.9; v/v) to give quercetin 3-*O*-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→6)-β-D-glucopyranoside (rutin) (**5**), while fraction (V) was purified on silica gel sub-column eluted with (EtOAc-MeOH; 17: 3, v/v), followed by PTLC eluted with (ethyl acetate-MeOH; 2.8:2.2, v/v) to give 1,6,8-trihydroxy-3-methoxy-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydroanthracene (**6**).

Antimicrobial Activity. The antimicrobial activity was evaluated by filter paper disc method [22]. Briefly, filter paper discs, 5 mm diameter, were saturated with 200 μg of tested extracts (EtOAc and *n*-BuOH). Stock cultures of the test organisms were obtained from the Microbiological Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University. The test microbes used were Gram-positive bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and *Staphylococcus epidermis*), Gram-negative bacteria (*Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Erwinia carotovora*, *Shigella* sp., *Erwinia* sp., *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Proteus vulgaris*), and yeast (*Candida albicans*). The bacterial test microbes (10⁶ cells/mL) were swapped on plates containing nutrient agar medium (DSMZ1), whereas the fungus test microbe (10⁸ cell/mL) was swapped on plates containing Czapek-Dox medium (DSMZ130). The filter paper discs containing the tested extracts were put on the surfaces of the inoculated plates. The plates were then incubated at 37 °C and 30 °C, for bacteria and fungus test microbes, respectively. The appearance of clear zones (mm diameter) was detected after 24 h of incubation. The activity index (%) is also measured as a correlation of the clear zone of tested extract compared to standard antibiotics (ampicillin, streptomycin, kanamycin, tobramycin, and clotrimazole). The activity index was measured according to the following equation:

% Activity Index

$$= \frac{\text{Zone of inhibition by test compound (diameter)}}{\text{Zone of inhibition by standard (diameter)}} \times 100\%$$

Anticancer Activity via Microculture Tetrazolium Assay (MTT). The anticancer activity was done according to Mauceri et al. [23], using four human tumor cell lines, namely, hepatocellular carcinoma (HePG-2), mammary gland breast cancer (MCF-7), human prostate cancer (PC3), and epithelioid carcinoma (Hela). The cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) via Holding company for biological products and vaccines (VACSERA), Cairo, Egypt. 5-Fluorouracil was used as a standard anticancer drug for comparison. Briefly, the different cell lines mentioned above were used to determine the inhibitory effects of extracts and compound **3** on cell growth using the MTT assay. This colorimetric assay is based on the conversion of the yellow tetrazolium bromide (MTT) to a purple formazan derivative by mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenase in viable cells. The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium with 10% fetal bovine serum. Antibiotics added were 100 units/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ incubator. The cells were seeds in a 96-well plate at a density of 1.0 × 10⁴ cells/well at 37 °C for 48 h under 5% CO₂. After incubation, the cells were treated with different concentration of compounds and incubated for 24 h. After 24 h of drug treatment, 20 μL of MTT solution at 5 mg/mL was added and incubated for 4 h. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in volume of 100 μL is added into each well to dissolve the purple formazan formed. The colorimetric assay is measured and recorded at absorbance of 570 nm using a plate reader (EXL 800, USA). The relative cell viability in percentage was calculated as (A₅₇₀ of treated samples/A₅₇₀ of untreated sample) × 100.

Antioxidant Activity (ABTS assay). The antioxidant activity was evaluated via 2,2-azino-di-[3-ethylbenzo-thiazolin-sulfonate] (ABTS) method. Briefly, for each of the investigated sample, 2 mL of ABTS solution (60 mM) was added to 3 M MnO₂ solution (25 mg/mL), all prepared in phosphate buffer (pH 7, 0.1 M). The mixture was shaken, centrifuged, and filtered, and the absorbance (*A*_{control}) of the resulting green-blue solution (ABTS radical solution) was adjusted at ca. 0.5 at 1 734 nm. Then, 50 μL of (2 mM) solution of the test compound in spectroscopic grade MeOH-phosphate buffer (1:1) was added. The absorbance (*A*_{test}) was measured after 10 min, and the reduction in color intensity was expressed as % inhibition. The % inhibition for each compound is calculated from the following equation:

$$\% \text{ Inhibition} = A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{test}} / A_{\text{control}} \times 100$$

Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) was used as standard antioxidant (positive control). Blank sample was run without ABTS and using MeOH-phosphate buffer (1:1) instead of sample. Negative control sample was run with MeOH-phosphate buffer (1:1) instead of tested sample [24].

Results and Discussion

Phytochemical Investigations. Bio-guided fractionation and chromatographic isolation of the ethyl acetate, *n*-butanol, and methylene chloride extracts of *S. italica* resulted in isolation and identification of six compounds (**1–6**) (Figure 5). The structure of the isolated compounds was elucidated by conventional chemical and spectroscopic methods and via comparison of their spectral data with the literature; the isolated compounds were identified as physcion (**1**) [25–27], emodin (**2**) [26–28], 2-methoxy-emodin-6-*O*-β-D-glucopyranoside (**3**), 1-hydroxy-2-acetyl-3-methyl-6-hydroxy-8-methoxynaphthalene (tinnevellin) (**4**) [29], quercetin 3-*O*-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→6)-β-D-glucopyranoside (**5**) (rutin)

[30, 31], and 1,6,8-trihydroxy-3-methoxy-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydroanthracene (**6**) [32].

Structural Elucidation of the Isolated Compounds (1–6).

Compound **1** was obtained as orange needles, m.p. 206–207 °C and R_f 0.58 in (*n*-hexane–benzene–ethyl acetate; 2:0.4:2.6; TLC). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$): 7.70 (1H, s, H-5), 7.40 (1H, d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, H-4), 7.15 (1H, s, H-7), 6.68 (1H, d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, H-2), 3.94 (3H, s, $-\text{OCH}_3$), and 2.41 (3H, s, $-\text{CH}_3$). Therefore, via comparing the given spectral data of the compound with the literature, compound **1** was identified as physcion [25–27].

Compound **2** was obtained as orange needles, m.p. 255–256 °C and R_f 0.53 in (*n*-hexane–benzene–ethyl acetate; 2:0.4:2.6; TLC). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$): 7.73 (1H, s, H-5), 7.50 (1H, d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, H-4), 7.21 (1H, s, H-7), 6.62 (1H, d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, H-2), and 2.50 (3H, s, $-\text{CH}_3$). Therefore, via comparing the given spectral data of the compound with the literature, compound **2** was identified as emodin [26–28].

Compound **3** was obtained as dark yellow powder, m.p. 173–175 °C and R_f 0.43 (EtOAc–MeOH– H_2O ; 8.6:1:0.4). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectrum of compound **3** showed two intense singlet signals at δ 12.06 and 12.15 ppm which indicate the chelated hydroxyl proton resonance attached on C-1 and C-8 of the aromatic ring, respectively. The two meta coupled doublet signals at δ 6.93 and 7.04 ppm correspond to the aromatic proton attached on C-5 and C-7, respectively, and a broad singlet signal at δ 7.67 ppm was assigned for aromatic proton attached on C-4. A strong intense signal around 2.5 ppm integrated for three protons of methyl proton attached on C-3 of the aromatic ring. Anomeric proton signal attached to C-6 at δ 5.01 ppm. Additionally, a signal in the aliphatic region was observed at δ 3.2 ppm as a singlet and integrated to three protons of methoxyl group at C-2. The $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ spectrum showed one methyl carbon at δ 21.65 ppm and three oxygenated carbons at δ 161.8 (C-1), 165.1 (C-6), and 164.9 (C-8) ppm. The $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ also shows two carbonyl carbons at δ 190.1 (C-9) and 181.9 (C-10) ppm. One methyl substituted carbon at δ 148.7 (C-3); four quaternary carbons at δ 127.6 (C-2), 120.9 (C-4), 109.3 (C-5), and 109.3 (C-7) ppm; also four quaternary carbons at δ 135.6 (C-11), 109.4 (C-12), 113.8 (C-13), and 133.3 (C-14) ppm. The anomeric proton assigned at δ 5.01 ppm (d, $J = 5.4$ Hz) through its one-bond correlation in Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence (HSQC) with its own anomeric carbon signal at δ 100.5 ppm was an evidence for the presence of a glucoside. The upfield shift of the carbon at δ 165.1 (C-6) indicates the presence of sugar moiety on the benzene ring at this position. The attachment of a glucose moiety to C-6 was assigned on the basis of the three-bond correlation peak between H-1' at δ 5.01 ppm and C-6 at δ 165.1 ppm in the Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Correlation (HMBC) spectrum. The glucose moiety was deduced to have the β - $^4\text{C}_1$ -pyranose stereo structure based on the J value of the anomeric proton and δ values of its ^1H and ^{13}C resonances (Table 1 and Figures 1–5). Similarly, the connectivity of the methoxyl group to C-2 of the aglycone was proven by the correlation peak of $-\text{OCH}_3$ at δ 3.2 ppm and C-2 at δ 127.6 ppm. All other ^1H and ^{13}C resonances were also confirmed by the ^1H - ^1H -CORrelated Spectroscopy (^1H - ^1H -COSY), HSQC, and HMBC spectra and by comparison with previously reported data for structurally related compounds [33]. Thus, compound **3** was identified as; 2-methoxyemodin-6- O - β -D-glucopyranoside, it was isolated for the first time as a new compound.

Compound **4** was obtained as a pale yellow powder, m.p. 163–165 °C and R_f 0.53 (EtOAc–MeOH; 3.1:1.9; TLC). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectra of compound **4** revealed the presence of three characteristic signals were assigned to three aromatic protons at δ 7.10 (1H, s, H-4), 6.97 (1H, s, H-5), and 6.75 (1H, s, H-7).

Table 1. ^1H - and ^{13}C NMR spectral data (400/100 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$) and HMBC assignments of compound **3**

Position	$^a\delta_{\text{H}}$ ppm	δ_{C} ppm	HMBC (H-C) correlations
1	—	158	
2	—	121.4	—
3	—	134.3	
4	7.03, 1H, s	119.3	
5	6.92, 1H, d, $J = 1.2$ Hz	103.3	C-5, 12
6	—	156.9	
7	6.70, 1H, d, $J = 1.2$ Hz	98.2	C-7, 12
8	—	152.2	
4a	—	136.6	
8a	—	109.0	
9	—	205.0	
10- CH_3	2.50	32.3	
11- CH_3	2.24	19.7	
12- OCH_3	4.02	56.3	
1'	5.02, 1H, d, $J = 7.2$ Hz	100.5	C-6
2'	—	73.6	C-4'
3'	—	77.2	C-1',5'
4'	—	69.9	C-2',6'
5'	—	76.8	C-3'
6'	—	60.5	C-4'

^aH: Chemical shift values (δ ppm from SiMe₄) followed by multiplicity and then the coupling constants (J in Hz).

Also, the spectrum revealed the presence of three methyl protons at δ 2.51 (3H, s, CH_3CO) which were assigned to acetyl moiety, three protons of methyl group at δ 2.23 (3H, s, CH_3), and three methoxyl protons at δ 4.17 (3H, s, OCH_3). Therefore, via comparing the given spectral data of the compound with the literature, compound **4** was identified as 1-hydroxy-2-acetyl-3-methyl-6-hydroxy-8-methoxynaphthalene (tinnevellin) [29].

Compound **5** was isolated as a yellow powder, m.p. 210–212 °C and R_f 0.67 (*n*-hexane–ethyl acetate; 2.1:2.9; TLC). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectrum of compound **5** revealed the presence of several signals were resonated at δ 7.56 (1H, d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, H-2'), 7.53 (1H, dd, $J = 9.2, 2.0$ Hz, H-6'), 6.87 (1H, d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, H-5'), 6.36 (1H, d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, H-8), 6.19 (1H, d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, H-6); sugar: δ (ppm): 5.34 (1H, d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, H-1''), 4.39 (1H, d, $J = 2.8$ Hz, H-1'''), 3.17–3.64 (9H, m, H-2''–H-6'', H-2'''–H-5''') and 1.01 (3H, d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, Rha- CH_3). Therefore, according to the abovementioned spectral data, compound **5** was identified as quercetin 3- O - α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→6)- β -D-glucopyranoside (rutin) [30, 31].

Compound **6** was obtained as reddish fine crystals, R_f 0.74 (*n*-hexane–ethyl acetate; 2.8:2.2; TLC). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectra of compound **6** revealed the presence of four characteristic signals assigned to four aromatic protons in anthracene nucleus at δ 7.55 (1H, s, H-2), 6.20 (1H, s, H-4), 6.50 (1H, s, H-5), and 7.80 (1H, s, H-7). A methoxyl group was appeared at δ 3.80 (3H, s, OCH_3). Therefore, via comparing the given spectral data of the compound with the literature, compound **6** was identified as 1,6,8-trihydroxy-3-methoxy-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydroanthracene [32].

Biological Investigations

Anticancer Activity. The anticancer activity of compound **3**, ethyl acetate, and *n*-butanol extracts was evaluated against four human tumor cell lines, namely, hepatocellular carcinoma (HePG-2), mammary gland breast cancer (MCF-7), human prostate cancer (PC3), and cervical (HeLa). The anticancer activity was expressed by the IC_{50} values as shown in Table 2; also the relative viability of cells (%) are showing in Figures 6a–c. According to the American National Cancer Institute guidelines [33], extracts with IC_{50} values <30 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ were considered active. It was found that the *n*-butanol extract was active against HePG-2, HeLa, PC3, and MCF-7 human tumor cell lines with an IC_{50} of 25.9, 22.7, 21.9, and 29.5 $\mu\text{g/mL}$; respectively. Moreover, compound **3** showed a moderate activity

Figure 1. ^1H -NMR spectra of compound 3

Figure 2. DEPTQ-NMR spectra of compound 3

Figure 3. HMBC-NMR spectra of compound 3

only against two tumor cell lines, namely, HePG-2 and MCF-7 with an IC_{50} of 57.5 and 42.3 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, respectively, compared to 5-fluorouracil. Previous study revealed that the *n*-hexane extract of *S. italica* showed weak anticancer, while the methylene chloride showed strong anticancer activity against four tested tumor cell lines, namely, HePG-2, HeLa, PC3, and MCF-7

[34]. Many previous reports revealed that the anthraquinones-rich extracts have noticeable *in vitro* anticancer potentials against different cancer cell lines [35, 36]. Moreover, previous molecular studies showed that the anticancer activity of anthraquinones may return to their unique chemical structure with heavy hydroxylation pattern [37, 38].

Figure 4. HSQC-NMR spectra of compound 3

Figure 5. Chemical structures of the isolated compounds (1–6) from aerial part of *S. italica*.

Antioxidant Activity (ABTS Assay). Free radical scavenging activity of the ethyl acetate and *n*-butanol extracts was evaluated via ABTS assay. The antioxidant activity (% inhibition) against

ABTS radical was 82.9% and 85.7%, respectively, for the ethyl acetate and *n*-butanol extracts, compared to ascorbic acid with % inhibition of 89.2% (Table 3 and Figure 7). Masoko et al. (2010)

Table 2. Anticancer activity of ethyl acetate and *n*-butanol extracts as well as compound 3 against human tumor cells compared to 5-fluorouracil as standard

Samples	<i>In vitro</i> anticancer IC ₅₀ (μg/mL) ^a			
	Hep G2	Hela	PC3	MCF-7
5-FU ^b	7.9 ± 0.28	4.8 ± 0.21	8.3 ± 0.35	5.4 ± 0.20
Ethyl acetate	46.1 ± 2.69	59.1 ± 3.57	28.7 ± 2.07	53.9 ± 2.91
<i>n</i> -Butanol	25.9 ± 1.87	22.7 ± 1.62	21.9 ± 1.76	29.5 ± 1.65
Compound 3	57.5 ± 4.26	—	—	42.3 ± 3.25

^aIC₅₀ (μg/mL): 1–10 (very strong), 11–20 (strong), 21–50 (moderate), 51–100 (weak), and above 100 (non-cytotoxic).

^b5-FU = 5-fluorouracil.

have been reported on the antioxidant activity of the acetone extract of the roots of *S. italica*, and such activity was attributed to the presence of bio-active chemical ingredients like glycosides, flavonoids, and alkaloids [12]. Anthraquinone compounds are known by their antioxidant potentials [39–42]. The anthraquinone nucleus showed optimum structural criteria required for the good antioxidant activity including heavy hydroxylation pattern and electron delocalization through conjugated system; accordingly, anthraquinones can act as strong electron and hydrogen donors [43, 44]. Therefore, in our current study, the high antiradical activity may be owing to these anthraquinones-rich extracts (EtOAc and *n*-BuOH).

Antimicrobial Activity. The antimicrobial activity of the ethyl acetate and *n*-butanol extracts was examined via disc agar technique against twelve pathogenic microbial strains. The

Table 3. Antioxidant activity of ethyl acetate and *n*-butanol extracts of *Senna italica* using ABTS assay

Sample	Absorbance of samples	% Inhibition
Ethyl acetate	0.087	82.9%
<i>n</i> -Butanol	0.073	85.7%
Ascorbic acid	0.055	89.2%
Control of ABTS	0.510	0%

results in Table 4 revealed that ethyl acetate extract showed a moderate to strong antimicrobial activity against seven tested organisms with inhibition zones ranged from 6 to 16 mm. On the other hand, *n*-butanol extract showed a remarkable activity against eleven species in comparing to standard antibiotics, i.e., *Shigella* spp. (7.8 mm/streptomycin, 14 mm), *Erwinia* spp. (10 mm/streptomycin, 35 mm), *E. coli* (19 mm/ampicillin, 24 mm), *E. aerogenes* (12.4 mm/kanamycin, 20 mm), *P. aeruginosa* (6.1 mm/tobramycin, 15 mm), *P. vulgaris* (7 mm/ampicillin, 18 mm), *S. epidermis* (14 mm/ampicillin, 24 mm), *S. pyogenes* (10 mm/ampicillin, 20 mm), *S. aureus* (11 mm/ampicillin, 24 mm), *B. subtilis* (9.3 mm/kanamycin, 20 mm), and *C. albicans* (12 mm/clotrimazole, 20 mm). The antimicrobial activity of the different parts of *S. italica* was previously investigated, and the obtained results to some extent were matched with our finding [34, 45]. Regarding the abovementioned results of the anthraquinone-rich extracts, these results may be good indicators for the responsibility of the identified anthraquinone metabolites for this activity shown by these extracts (EtOAc and *n*-BuOH). From the

Figure 6. (a) Relative viability of cells (%) against different concentrations 5-fluorouracil as standard; (b) relative viability of cells (%) against different concentrations of ethyl acetate; (c) relative viability of cells (%) against different concentrations of *n*-butanol

Figure 7. Antioxidant activities of ethyl acetate and *n*-butanol extracts of *S. italica* using ABTS assay

Table 4. The inhibition zone (mm) and activity index% of ethyl acetate and *n*-butanol extracts of *S. italica* compared to standard antibiotics

Microorganism	Standard antibiotic/Inhibition zone (mm)	Ethyl acetate		<i>n</i> -Butanol	
		Inhibition zone (mm) ^a	Activity index%	Inhibition zone (mm)	Activity index%
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Ampicillin/25	0	0	0	0
<i>Shigella</i> spp.	Streptomycin/14	8.6	61.4	7.8	55.7
<i>Erwinia</i> spp.	Streptomycin/35	8	22.8	10	28.5
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Ampicillin/24	16	66.6	19	79.1
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	Kanamycin/20	9	45.0	12.4	62.0
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Tobramycin/15	0	0	6.1	40.6
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	Ampicillin/18	0	0	7	38.8
<i>Staphylococcus epidermis</i>	Ampicillin/24	0	0	14	58.3
<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	Ampicillin/20	0	0	10	50.0
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Ampicillin/24	6	25.0	11	45.8
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Kanamycin/20	14	70.0	9.3	46.5
<i>Candida albicans</i>	Clotrimazole/20	6	30.0	12	60.0

^aInhibition zones in mm.

structure activity relationship point of view, anthraquinones have the ability to act as antimicrobial agents via different modes of actions, in which the interaction with cell wall/cell membrane, leading to increase the permeability of the cell envelope, the leakage of cytoplasm, and the deconstruction of cell [46]. In addition, many authors have been reported on the antimicrobial activities of anthraquinones [47, 48]. de Barros et al. (2011) reported on the antifungal activity of emodin, physcion from *Coccoloba mollis* [49]. Also, Basu et al (2005) reported on the antibacterial activity of emodin and physcion against three *Bacillus* species [50].

Conclusion

In this work, six phenolic compounds were isolated and identified in the ethyl acetate and *n*-butanol extracts of *S. italica* using chromatographic and spectroscopic techniques. The two solvent extracts showed noticeable antimicrobial, anticancer, and antioxidant activities. Moreover, the *n*-butanol extract showed strong antimicrobial activity than the ethyl acetate. Compound **3** was isolated for the first time from *S. italica* growing in Egypt; the aerial parts of *S. italica* may be good natural sources of antimicrobial, antioxidant, and anticancer agents.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this work.

References

- Rahman, A. H. M.; Parvin, M. I. A. *Plant* **2015**, *3*, 20.
- Irwin, H. S.; Barneby, R. C. **1982**, *35*, 1–918.
- Randell, B. R.; Barlow, B. A. *Senna Flora of Australia*, **1998**, *12*, 89–138.

- Yagi, S.; El-Tigani, S.; Ali, M.; Elkhidir, I.; Mohammed, A. M. A. *Int. Lett. Chem. Phys. Astron.* **2013**, *9*, 146.
- Ingkaninan, K.; Ijzerman, A.; Verpoorte, R. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2000**, *63*, 315.
- Branco, A.; Pinto, A. C.; Schripsema, J.; Braz-Filho, R. *An. Acad. Braz. Sci.* **2011**, *83*, 1159.
- Bukar, A.; Mukhtar, M. D.; Hassan, A. S. *Bayero J. Pure Appl. Sci.* **2009**, *2*, 139.
- Susunaga-Notario, A. C.; Pérez-Gutiérrez, S.; Zavala-Sánchez, M. A.; Almanza-Pérez, J. C.; Gutiérrez-Carrillo, A.; Arrieta-Báez, D.; López-López, A. L.; Román-Ramos, R.; Flores-Sáenz, J. L.; Alarcón-Aguilar, F. J. *Molecules* **2014**, *19*, 10261.
- Jimenez-Coello, M.; Guzmán, E. S.; Pérez, M. S.; Polanco, G.; Acosta, K. *Afr. J. Tradit. Complement. Altern. Med.* **2011**, *8*, 164.
- Guzmán, E. S.; Pérez, C.; Zavala, M. A.; Pérez, M. S. *Phytomedicine* **2008**, *15*, 892.
- Mokgotho, M. P.; Gololo, S. S.; Masoko, P.; Mdee, L. K.; Mbazima, V.; Shai, L. J. *Evid-Based Compl. Alt. Med.* **2013**, 1–6.
- Masoko, P. A.; Gololo, S. S.; Mokgotho, M. P.; Eloff, J. N.; Howard, R. I.; Mampuru, L. J. *Afr. J. Tradit. Complement. Altern. Med.* **2010**, *7*, 138.
- Mohanlal, V.; Odhav, B. *J. Med. Plant Res.* **2013**, *7*, 877.
- Kanokmedhakul, K.; Kanokmedhakul, S.; Phatchana, R. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2005**, *100*, 284.
- Manojlovic, N. T.; Solujic, S.; Sukdolac, S.; Krstic, L. J. *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *65*, 555.
- Rossi, S.; Tabolacci, C.; Lentini, A.; Provenzano, B.; Carlomosti, F.; Frezzotti, S.; Beninat, S. *Anticancer Res.* **2010**, *30*, 445.
- Lee, H. Z.; Hsu, S. L.; Liu, M. C.; Wu, C. H. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2001**, *431*, 287.
- Mohammed, M. D.; El-Souda, S. S.; El-Hallouty, S. M.; Kobayashi, N. *Herba Polonica*. **2013**, *59*, 33.
- Kuo, P. L.; Lin, T. C.; Lin, C. C. *Life Sci.* **2002**, *71*, 1879.
- Jasril, A.; Lajis, N. H.; Mooi, L. Y.; Abdullah, M. A.; Sukari, M. A.; Ali, A. M. *As Pac. J. Mol. Biol. Biotechnol.* **2003**, *11*, 3.
- Djengou, P. C.; Hussien, T. A.; Hegazy, M. F.; Ngandu, F.; Neguim, G.; Tane, P.; Mohamed, A. H. *Pharmacognosy Res.* **2010**, *2*, 229.
- Murray, P. R.; Baron, E. J.; Pfaller, M. A.; Tenover, F. C.; Tenover, R. H. *Manual of Clinical Microbiology* 6th Ed. ASM, Washington, 1995.
- Mauceri, H. J.; Hanna, N. N.; Beckett, M. A.; Gorski, D. H.; Staba, M. J.; Stellato, K. A.; Bigelow, K.; Heimann, R.; Gately, S.; Dhanabal, M.; Soff, G. A.; Sukhatme, V. P.; Kufe, D. W.; Weichselbaum, R. R. *Nature* **1998**, *394*, 287.
- El-Gazzar, A. B.; Youssef, M. M.; Youssef, A. M. S.; Abu-Hashem, A. A.; Badria, F. A. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *44*, 609.
- Li, J. L.; Wang, A. Q.; Li, J. S.; He, W. Y.; Kong, M. *Chin. Tradit. Herb. Drugs* **2000**, *31*, 321.

26. Chu, X.; Sun, A.; Liu, R. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2005**, *1097*, 33.
27. Guo, S.; Feng, B.; Zhu, R.; Ma, J.; Wang, W. *Molecules* **2011**, *16*, 1201.
28. Yang, X. W.; Gu, Z. M.; Ma, C. M.; Hattori, M.; Namba, T. *Chin. Tradit. Herb. Drugs* **1998**, *29*, 5–10.
29. Lemli, J.; Toppet, S.; Cuveele, J.; Janssen, G. *Planta Med.* **1981**, *43*, 11.
30. El-Sayed, M. M.; Mahmoud, M. A.; El-Nahas, H. A.; El-Toumy, S. A.; El-Wakil, E. A.; Ghareeb, M. A. *Pharmacologyonline* **2010**, *3*, 317.
31. Ghareeb, M. A.; Shueb, H. A.; Madkour, H. M. F.; Refahy, L. A.; Mohamed, M. A.; Saad, A. M. *Global J. Pharmacol.* **2014**, *8*, 87.
32. Ghoneim, M. M.; Elokely, K. M.; El-Hela, A. A.; Mohammad, A. I.; Jacob, M.; Cutler, S. J.; Doerksen, R. J.; Ross, S. A. *Med. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *23*, 3510.
33. Suffness, M.; Pezzuto, J. M. Assays related to cancer drug discovery. In *Methods in Plant Biochemistry: Assays for Bioactivity* Edited by Hostettmann, K. London: Academic Press, 1990, 6, pp. 71–133.
34. Madkour, H. M. F.; Ghareeb, M. A.; Abdel-Aziz, M. S.; Khalaf, O. M.; Saad, A. M.; El-Ziaty, A. K.; Abdel-Mogib, M. *J. Appl. Pharm. Sci.* **2017**, *7*, 023.
35. Aviello, G.; Rowland, I.; Gill, C. I.; Acquaviva, A. M.; Capasso, F.; McCann, M.; Capasso, R.; Izzo, A. A.; Borrelli, F. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* **2010**, *14*, 2006.
36. Huang, Q.; Lu, G.; Shen, H. C. M.; Chung, M. C. M.; Ong, C. N. *Med. Res. Rev.* **2007**, *27*, 609.
37. Driscoll, J. S.; Hazard, G. F.; Wood, J. H. B.; Goldin, J. A. *Cancer Chemother. Rep.* **1974**, *2*, 1.
38. Srinivas, G.; Babykutty, S.; Sathiadevan, P. P.; Srinivas, P. *Med. Res. Rev.* **2007**, *27*, 591.
39. Jasril, Lajis, N. H.; Mooi, L. Y.; Abdullah, M. A.; Sukari, M. A.; Ali, A. M. *Asia. Pac. J. Mol. Biol. Biotechnol.* **2003**, *11*, 3.
40. Zhang, X.; Thuong, P. T.; Jin, W. Y.; Su, N. D.; Sok, D. E.; Bae, K.; Kang, S. S. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* **2005**, *28*, 22.
41. Lin, H.; Zhang, Y.-W.; Zheng, L.-H.; Meng, X.-Y.; Bao, Y.-L.; Wu, Y.; Yu, C.-L.; Huang, Y.-X.; Li, Y.-X. *Helv. Chim. Acta.* **2011**, *94*, 1488.
42. Tripathi, B.; Bhatia, R.; Pandey, A.; Gaur, J.; Chawala, G.; Walia, S.; Choi, E. H.; Attri, P. *J. Chem.* **2014**, *1*.
43. Rice-Evans, C. A.; Miller, N. J.; Paganga, G. *Free Radic Biol. Med.* **1996**, *20*, 933.
44. Marković, Z.; Jeremić, S.; Marković, J. M.; Pirković, M. S.; Amić, D. *Comput. Theor. Chem.* **2016**, *1077*, 25.
45. Tshikalange, T. E.; Meyer, J. M.; Husein, A. A. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2005**, *96*, 515.
46. Wei, Y.; Liu, Q.; Yu, J.; Feng, Q.; Zhao, L.; Song, H.; Wang, W. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2014**, *1*.
47. Agarwal, S. K.; Singh, S. S.; Verma, S.; Kumar, S. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2000**, *72*, 43.
48. Izhaki, I. *New Phytol.* **2002**, *155*, 205.
49. de Barros, I. B.; Daniel, J. F. S.; Pinto, J. P.; Rezende, M. I.; Filho, R. B.; Ferreira, D. T. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* **2011**, *54*, 535.
50. Basu, S.; Ghosh, A.; Banasri, H. *Phytother. Res.* **2005**, *19*, 888.