AKADÉMIAI KIADÓ

International Review of Applied Sciences and Engineering

13 (2022) 1, 70–79

DOI:

[10.1556/1848.2021.00309](https://doi.org/10.1556/1848.2021.00309)

© 2021 The Author(s)

REVIEW PAPER

Effect of nano silica on the properties of concrete and mortar – A state of art

Pandiaraj Karthigai Priya* and Sankararajan Vanitha

Department of Civil Engineering, Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education, Krishnankoil, 626126, Tamilnadu, India

Received: May 3, 2021 • Accepted: June 21, 2021

Published online: September 1, 2021

ABSTRACT

Construction industry is one of the biggest sectors globally and a wide variety of materials are used to carry out various works. Particularly, cement is a material that is used in the construction of various structures and it is also the major source of emission of CO₂ gas into the atmosphere which results in global warming. Many researchers have identified various replacement materials for cement as a partial substitution and carried out experiments successfully. Nano silica is widely utilized as a partial replacement for cement and a lot of research is carried out. This paper reviews the past studies in which nano silica is utilized in various building materials such as cement mortars, normal concrete and special concretes. The fresh concrete properties, strength and durability of the material are the parameters reviewed and it is apparent that by incorporating nano silica in cement it absorbs more water, which makes the mix less workable and it imparts additional strength to the concrete and also provides better durability when compared with the control specimen. Hence it has been revealed that nano silica will be a good replacement for cement as it is pozzolanic in nature and also possessing good microstructure.

KEYWORDS

nano silica, cement, concrete, strength, durability

1. INTRODUCTION

Urbanization and industrialization pose a major threat to the environment hence instigating pollution to the environment and its surroundings. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is emitted from cement production process and it alone accounts for 5–8% of the total CO₂ emitted globally thus resulting in global warming [42]. A lot of materials have been identified and replaced for cement in order to diminish the effect of CO₂ emission from the cement manufacturing process. Silica fume is one among them most widely used as a replacement for cement and a lot of research is carried out with the utilization of silica fume especially in nano form and validated [46].

Silica fume is produced from several methods and one common method of silica fume production is through the reduction process of quartz to silicon at 2000 °C in which silicon dioxide vapor is produced which is then oxidized and condensed at low temperature to obtain silica fume [43]. Silica fume rice husk, a solid waste and a by-product from rice cultivation, poses major problems to the environment if not managed properly. The amorphous silica is produced from rice husk after it has been subjected to various high pressures and temperatures as it has a high organic content that needs to be removed [1]. Silica fume is obtained after the rice husk is subjected to chemical treatment and further burning it. Silica fume can also be produced by various other processes such as sol gel process, pyrogenic process, reduction process of quartz while manufacturing silicon and ferrosilicon and acid leaching procedure. For this purpose, acids like Hydrochloric acid, Sulphuric acid, Oxalic acid, Citric acids are generally used to obtain silica fume. Also, the silica fume finds its common application in construction materials [2, 4]. In the recent past, the utilization of nano materials in construction has played a significant role in various applications.

*Corresponding author.

E-mail: karthigaipriya14@gmail.com

Especially, nano silica finds its application widely in concrete due to high specific surface area, which also improves the strength and durability of the concrete [44]. Apart from nano silica, many other materials, for instance, nano TiO_2 , nano Fe_2O_3 , nano Al_2O_3 , etc. are used as construction materials [45].

This paper reviews the characteristics and properties of nano silica when replaced for cement in various concretes and its suitability for replacing it as construction material. The performance of different concretes in terms of strength and durability is studied when silica fume is replaced in it for cement. This paper gives an idea about the proportion of nano silica and silica fume that can be utilized in different concretes so that it improves the mechanical and durability properties of the material in which it is being utilized.

2. REVIEW FROM PAST RESEARCH

2.1. Characteristics of nano silica from past research

The silica fume is generally called as nano silica when the particle size is between 1 and 700 nm and having high specific surface area. The chemical composition of nano silica is studied using X Ray Fluorescence Spectroscopy and it is found that a large amount of SiO_2 is present in silica fume, and other elements such as Al, Fe, Mg, Na and K are found in trace amounts. So, it can be said that nano silica acts as a good pozzolanic material as it is having high silica content [44]. The size of the particle was found by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and it showed that the particle size of silica fume ranges from 2 to 720 nm. The size of the nano silica will vary based on the production process adopted for manufacturing of silica fume [3]. The average particle size is 40 nm of the nano silica utilized in this study [8]. It also acts as a better pore filler in concrete as the size of the element is much smaller thus it will have high specific surface area [44].

The relative density and the bulk density of the nano silica was found to be around 1.2 and $1,200 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ respectively. The specific surface area of the nano silica is $250 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and the pH value is 6.8. The specific gravity of the nano silica is nearly 2.33 and it is found lesser than the specific gravity of cement [26].

2.2. Nano silica as replacement material

Table 1 shows partial replacement of nano silica with construction materials and the corresponding effect in terms of strength and durability.

2.3. Mechanical properties of concrete with nano silica

2.3.1. Cement mortar. The compressive strength of the mortar manufactured by replacing cement with nano silica was found higher when compared with conventional mortar when nano silica replacement was at 12% [8]. As mentioned by Luciano Senff et al., it is evident that the requirement of

water decreases in cement mortar when replaced with 2.5% of NS [10]. With nano silica replaced at 3% for cement in concrete mortar the compressive strength was found to be higher when compared with the control specimen [11]. This may be due to the pozzolanic reaction of the nano silica, which is found effective and it fills the pores thus enhancing the strength of the mortar. In a study carried out by Sattawat Haruehansapong et al., nano silica of various sizes of 12 nm, 20 nm, 40 nm is replaced for cement in cement mortar. The increase in replacement of NS improved the strength, however, at 9% replacement the mortar possessed high mechanical strength and the size of the nano silica did not have any effect on the mortar [31]. The mechanical strength of the mortar increases with increase in nano silica replaced for cement [5]. The compressive strength of cement mortar manufactured with nano silica carried out by different researchers is compared and is presented in Fig. 1.

2.3.2. Recycled concrete. Recycled concrete was manufactured and replaced with nano silica for cement and it is tested at various elevated temperatures and it is found that the compressive strength has a tendency to decrease with increase in percentage replacement of nano silica content and the compressive strength also decreases with increasing elevated temperature. The vapor pressure occurs due to the evaporation of the adsorbed and capillary water when the temperature is between 25 and 200°C and thereby it tends to reduce the strength of the concrete [12]. The compressive strength decrease is due to the vapor pressure that affects the internal microstructure of the concrete. Musab Alhawati et al., found that nano silica with 1.5% replacement for cement boosted the bond strength and corrosion resistance of the concrete in recycled aggregate concrete [26].

2.3.3. Geopolymer concrete. Partha Sarathi Deb et al. found that geopolymer concrete replaced with nano silica at 2% increased the compressive strength when compared with the control one. Beyond 2% of addition, the NS gets unreacted in the matrix, which considerably reduces the strength of the concrete [17]. D. Adak et al. stated in their study that the nano silica addition of 6% for cement in geopolymer mortar showed improvement in the mechanical properties of the plain cement mortar [28]. Currently nano silica is used in geopolymer concrete also. The combined effect of 1% SF with 2% NS possessed good mechanical properties in geopolymer concrete [14]. The combined effect of utilizing nano silica and silica fume each at 1.5% improved the microstructure of geopolymer concrete and it also possessed good compressive strength [15]. This could be due to the high rate of alkali activation reaction that takes place in geopolymer concrete [14, 15, 17, 28]. Figure 2 shows the comparative chart of compressive strength of geopolymer concrete in which nano silica is incorporated at various percentages by various researchers.

2.3.4. High-performance concrete. When 2% NS is added to cement in high-performance self-compacting concrete, the performance of the concrete in terms of strength and

Table 1. Nano silica replacement and its effects in various construction materials

Ref.	Replacement material	% Replacement	Material in which replacement done	Tests done	Remarks
[5]	Nano Fe_2O_3 Nano SiO_2	Nano silica (NS) – 2, 3, 5, 10% for cement	Cement mortar	Compressive strength, flexural strength	With nano silica replacement at 10% the mechanical properties are higher and the microstructure of the pastes get improved
[6]	Colloidal silica (CS)	CS – 1 & 5% for cement	Cement mortar	Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) tests @ 35–550 deg cel, Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	With increase in CS, the hydration mechanism also improved
[7]	Nano silica, incinerated sewage sludge ash (ISSA)	NS – 1, 2% @1, 10, 75 μm for cement ISSA – 20% for cement	Cement mortar	Compressive strength, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry (MIP)	NS with particle size 1 μm improved the compressive strength of the mortar.
[8]	Nano SiO_2 and silica fume	SF – 5, 10, 15% for cement NS – 3, 6, 10, 12%	Cement mortar	Compressive strength, SEM, XRD	The compressive strength stood higher with increase in NS and the microstructure was found denser at maximum replacement.
[9]	Nano SiO_2	@ 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 5% for cement	Cement mortar	Compressive strength, flexural strength, SEM	The mechanical properties improved with increase in NS concentration up to 2%.
[10]	Nano silica	@ 0, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5% for cement	Cement mortar	Viscosity, flow table, apparent density, XRD	NS decreases the water requirement for mixture and 2.5% replacement was found optimal.
[11]	Nano silica	@1, 2, 3, 5% for cement	Cement mortar	Compressive strength, flexural strength, SEM, XRD	3% replacement was found optimal and the compressive strength increased considerably with increase in NS content.
[12]	Nano silica & basalt fiber	NS – 0, 3, 6% for cement Basalt fiber – 1, 2, 3% by weight of cement	Recycled concrete	Compressive strength, tensile strength, SEM, XRD at elevated temperature of 25, 200, 400, 600 deg cel	With increase in temperature and NS content the strength of the concrete reduces significantly. This strength reduction is due to the rise in temperature.
[13]	Colloidal nano silica	@ 0.75, 1.5, 3% for cement	Concrete	Compressive strength, tensile strength, flexural strength, XRD, Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	The NS improves the mortar matrix and also the strength increases with increase in NS.
[14]	NS & steel fiber	NS – 2% Steel fiber – 0.5, 1, 1.5%	Geopolymer concrete (GPC)	Compressive strength, water sorptivity, permeability, SEM	GPC with 1% steel fibers and 2% NS possessed good properties.
[15]	NS & silica fume (SF)	NS & SF – 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5%	Geopolymer concrete (GPC)	Compressive strength, SEM, XRD, XRF, FTIR, setting time	1.5% replacement was found optimal in both cases of NS and SF.

(continued)

Table 1. Continued

Ref.	Replacement material	% Replacement	Material in which replacement done	Tests done	Remarks
[16]	NS	@1, 2, 3% for cement	Recycled aggregate geopolymer concrete	Compressive, flexural & tensile strength, water absorption, sorptivity, Rapid Chloride Penetration Test (RCPT)	1% replacement of NS improved both mechanical and durability properties of GPC.
[17]	NS	@0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3% for fly ash	Geopolymer concrete (GPC)	Compressive strength, SEM	2% NS replacement shows increase in compressive strength and good microstructure.
[18]	NS, SF & fly ash (FA)	SF – 10% for cement NS – 2% for cement FA – 5, 10, 15% for cement	High-performance self-compacting concrete	Compressive, tensile & flexural strength, Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA), water absorption, RCPT	Addition of NS at 2% improved the performance of concrete.
[19]	Macro polymeric fibers, polypropylene fibers, NS, SF	Macro polymeric fibers – 0.25–2.5% @0.25% increment Polypropylene fibers – 0.1–0.5% @0.1% increment NS – 1, 2, 3% SF – 8, 10, 12%	High-strength concrete	Compressive and tensile strength, water absorption, density, porosity	NS @2% and SF @12% improved the mechanical properties of concrete.
[20]	NS	@0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2% for cement	Ultra-high-strength concrete	Pull out test, pore structure measurement, SEM, XRD, micro hardness	1% replacement enhanced the bond property as well as the microstructure of the concrete.
[21]	SF, NS, Steel fibers & Forta-ferro fibers	SF – 8, 10, 12% NS – 1, 2, 3% Steel fibers – 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.25, 1.5% Forta-ferro fibers – 0.2, 0.35, 0.5, 0.65, 0.8%	Fiber reinforced concrete	Compressive & tensile strength, modulus of elasticity, water absorption, density	The concrete mix with 8% SF, 2% NS & 1% steel fibers give good mechanical properties.
[22]	NS	@1, 2% for cement	High-strength concrete	Compressive, tensile & flexural strength, RCPT, water absorption, water sorptivity	Improvement in strength was obtained when NS was replaced at 2% to acquire higher strength.
[23]	NS, Cu–Zn ferrite, Ni ferrite	All the three were replaced @ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5% for cement	High-strength concrete	Compressive, tensile & flexural strength, modulus of elasticity	NS @3% & Ni and Cu ferrite @2% replacement improved the mechanical properties of the concrete.
[24]	Micro silica (MS), NS	Micro silica – 12.5% NS – 2.5% for cement	High-strength concrete	Compressive strength, SEM, DTA	The compressive strength improved with the incorporation of micro and nano silica at 12.5 and 2.5% respectively.
[25]	NS	@3% at 400, 600, 800 °C of elevated temperatures	High-strength concrete	Compressive & tensile strength, spalling test	The presence of NS improved the mechanical strength of the concrete at elevated temperatures.

(continued)

Table 1. Continued

Ref.	Replacement material	% Replacement	Material in which replacement done	Tests done	Remarks
[26]	NS	@1.5, 3% for cement	Recycled aggregate concrete (RAC)	Compressive strength, bond strength, pull out test, mass loss	1.5% of NS in RAC enhanced the bond strength and corrosion resistance.
[27]	Colloidal nano silica, copper slag	Colloidal NS – 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3% for cement	High-performance concrete	Compressive, tensile & flexural strength, RCPT, water absorption, sorptivity, abrasion resistance	The mechanical & durability properties increase with increase in NS content but @2% replacement was found optimal.
[28]	NS	@0, 4, 6, 8, 10%	Geopolymer mortar	Compressive, tensile & flexural strength, RCPT, water absorption, SEM, XRD	6% addition of NS improved the mechanical properties of the mortar.
[29]	NS, Basic oxygen steel making slag (BOS)	NS – 2% BOS – 25, 50, 75, 100%	High-strength concrete	Compressive, tensile & flexural strength, water absorption, ultra sonic pulse velocity	50% BOS @2% NS increased the compressive strength and there was reduction in the water absorption.
[30]	NS	@1.5, 3%	Concrete	Compressive, tensile & flexural strength, RCPT, XRD	Using nano silica in concrete increased the compressive strength and also had good resistance to chloride penetration.
[31]	NS	@3, 6, 9, 12% and with size 12, 20, 40 nm	Cement mortar	Compressive strength, particle size, SEM	NS at 9% replacement at all sizes were found optimal.
[32]	NS, SF	NS – 0, 1.5, 3, 5, 7.5% SF – 0, 5, 7.5% for cement	Concrete	Compressive strength, SEM, XRD, Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDS)	3% & 5% replacement of NS showed improvement in compressive strength.
[33]	NS	@3%	High-strength light weight concrete	Compressive & tensile strength, gas permeability, water sorptivity	NS enhances the permeability, strength & durability of concrete in aggressive environments.
[34]	NS	@1, 2, 3, 4% for cement	Ultra-high-performance concrete	SEM, XRD, MIP, water sorptivity	Best performance was obtained when NS was replaced at 3% for cement.
[35]	NS	@0, 2, 4, 6%	Concrete	Compressive strength, electrical resistance, weight loss, sorptivity, water absorption	NS shows positive effects on mechanical and durability properties of concrete.
[36]	NS	@0.3, 0.9%	Concrete	Compressive strength, SEM, sorptivity, RCPT, water absorption, pore size distribution	With small amount of NS at 0.3% itself the durability of the concrete gets improved.
[37]	NS	@2, 4%	High volume fly ash concrete	Compressive strength, sorptivity, permeable voids, RCPT, porosity	2% of NS for cement is found to be superior to normal concrete.
[38]	Micro silica (MS) and nano silica	MS – 0, 10% NS – 0, 1, 2%	Cement mortar	Sulphate attack, carbonation, RCPT, water absorption	The combined effect of NS with MS gave good performance of mortar.

(continued)

Table 1. Continued

Ref.	Replacement material	% Replacement	Material in which replacement done	Tests done	Remarks
[39]	NS & fibers	NS – 0, 2, 4, 6% Steel fiber – 0.2, 0.3, 0.5% Polypropylene fibers – 0.1, 0.15, 0.2% Glass – 0.15, 0.2, 0.3%	Self-compacting concrete	Compressive, tensile & flexural strength, RCPT, water absorption, atomic force microscopy, XRD	The presence of both fibers and nano silica can improve the mechanical and durability properties of concrete.
[40]	NS, Nano alumina	NS – 3, 5, 7% NA – 1, 2, 3% for cement	Concrete	Compressive strength, loss of mass, change in length, water absorption	Nano alumina in concrete showed better results when compared with nano silica.
[41]	NS	Not specified	Concrete	Water permeability, Environmental Scanning Electron Microscopy (ESEM)	The microstructure of concrete with NS is more uniform than conventional concrete.

Fig. 1. Compressive strength comparison for cement mortar

Fig. 2. Compressive strength comparison for geopolymer concrete

durability got increased significantly when compared with the control one [18]. S. Chithra et al. used nano silica in colloidal form and replaced at 2% for cement in high performance concrete and it increased the mechanical and durability properties of the concrete [27]. In an ultra-high-performance concrete, the performance was found best when nano silica was replaced at 3% for cement [34]. This is because of the fact that the pore structure of the concrete

gets refined thus reducing the capillary pores and thus increasing the performance of the concrete [18, 27, 34].

2.3.5. High-strength concrete. Nano silica also finds its application in high-strength concrete. Saber Fallah and Mahdi Nematzadeh used 2% of nano silica and 12% of silica fume to cement and it improved the mechanical properties of the high-strength concrete [19]. Mohamed Amin and Khaled Abu el-hassan manufactured high-strength concrete with nano silica and Cu and Ni ferrite where the mechanical properties improved and supported the high-strength of the concrete [23]. This may be due to the reaction of nano particles that form additional CSH gel thus improving the strength of the concrete [19, 23].

2.3.6. Fiber reinforced concrete. The combined effect of nano silica at 2% replacement for cement with silica fume at 8% and steel fibers at 1% possessed good mechanical properties in the case of fiber reinforced concrete. This is due to the presence of fibers, which will reduce the crack formation in concrete thus improving the properties of the concrete [21].

2.4. Durability properties of concretes with nano silica

2.4.1. Geopolymer concrete. Geopolymer concrete with 1% silica fume and 2% nano silica improved the durability of the manufactured concrete when compared with the control specimen [14]. There is a reduction in porosity due to the presence of NS thus it improved the durability of the concrete. Whereas in a recycled aggregate geopolymer concrete 1% addition of nano silica for cement had good resistance to chloride penetration and improved other durability properties of the concrete [16]. It is because the incorporation of NS enhanced the N-A-S-H gel and improved the durability of the concrete [14, 16].

2.4.2. High-performance concrete. Mostafa Jalal et al. in their study used 2% of NS and 10% of silica fume as a replacement to cement, which gave good resistance to water

absorption and chloride penetration of high-performance self-compacting concrete [18]. The combined effect of NS with SF at 2% and 12%, respectively, for cement in high-performance concrete improved the mechanical properties of the concrete [19]. Prakasam Ganesh et al. carried out a study with high-strength concrete in which 2% of nano silica was replaced for cement and the concrete possessed good durability properties. This is owed to the large specific surface area of nano silica and it also showed good pozzolanic reaction [22]. S. Chithra et al. added nano silica in colloidal form at various percentages in high-performance concrete and it has been found that the durability of the concrete increases with increase in the nano silica content, but 2% replacement was found to be optimal [27]. It is because the addition of nano silica to concrete improved the particle packing density of the matrix and enhanced the durability of the concrete [27].

2.4.3. High-strength concrete. The water absorption for the high-strength concrete gets reduced considerably when nano silica is added for cement as a replacement of 2%. The reduction in water absorption is due to the micro filling effects of the nano silica [29].

2.4.4. Plain concrete. Mahdi Mahdikhani et al. replaced nano silica at various percentages for cement in normal concrete and they concluded that the NS shows positive effects on the durability of the concrete [35]. The durability of normal concrete is improved with even a small addition of nano silica to it. With 0.3% nano silica for cement itself the durability of the normal concrete gets improved when compared with the control one [36]. The mechanical properties of concrete containing nano silica showed better results than the concrete containing nano alumina in a study done by Kiachehr Behfarnia and Niloofar Salemi. This is due to the microstructure of the concrete getting improved by the addition of nano silica [40].

2.4.5. Other concretes. Steve Wilben Macquarie Supit and Faiz Uddin Ahmed Shaik manufactured high-volume fly ash concrete in which 2% replacement of nano silica is added to cement and it is found superior to the control specimen [37]. The combined effective utilization of nano silica with micro silica in cement mortar improves its performance considerably [38]. Along with nano silica in self-compacting concrete, the addition of fibers to it has improved the chloride resistance and also reduced the water requirement of the concrete [39]. This could be due to the changes in the microstructure of the concrete and the pore system.

2.5. Fresh concrete properties

NS was added at 0, 2, 4 & 6% by weight as a replacement for cement in self-compacting concrete. Slump flow, V funnel and L box are the tests done to determine the fresh concrete behavior in SCC. The addition of NS decreased the slump flow diameter, because NS was small in size and it has larger surface area which increases the water demand in the concrete. The flow time in V funnel increased due to the

presence of NS whereas the passing ability of concrete with NS was good, however, when there was an increase in NS there was a slight reduction in the L box ratio [47]. Whereas in high-strength concrete, the workability of the concrete is reduced due to the presence of NS with its ability to absorb higher water as it is having higher specific surface area [19]. NS in self-compacting concrete decreased the workability of the mix and also little bleeding and segregation were observed in the mix containing NS. So, either the w/b ratio needs to be increased or super plasticizers need to be added to maintain workability in self-compacting concrete [48].

In plain concrete, with the combined use of NS and micro silica, the workability of the mix was good and sufficient. Addition of NS required higher amount of super plasticizer (SP) to maintain the workability of the mix [49]. In recycled aggregate concrete, it was noticed that there is a loss of slump due to the presence of NS and it is due to the NS absorbing more water and hence reducing the fluidity of the mix [50].

Therefore, NS has higher water absorption, which reduces the workability of the mix. In order to overcome this the w/b ratio has to be increased or the SP content needs to be added to make the mix workable [19, 47–50].

3. CONCLUSIONS

From the above study reviewed for nano silica used as construction material, the following conclusions can be brought:

1. Nano silica is found to be a good pozzolanic material, cementitious in nature and it was found suitable to utilize as a replacement material for cement as it also has a high silica content.
2. Nano silica finds its application in mortars, normal concretes and special concretes like high-performance concrete, self-compacting concrete, high-strength concrete, geopolymer concrete as a replacement for cement.
3. Nano silica has a higher specific surface area due to which it has a higher pore filling effect thus making the structure of the concrete denser, which directly improves the mechanical properties and durability of the material in which it is used.
4. Due to the higher specific surface area of the nano silica, the water absorption is higher, which needs to be maintained by changing the w/b ratio or the super-plasticizer dosage.
5. The concrete structure is denser as observed from the microstructural studies and this increases the bond properties of the concrete and also reduces the porosity as the pore system gets refined due to the addition of nano silica.
6. The incorporation of NS in concrete reduces the flowability of the mix and it is due to the high water demand required by the NS.
7. In cement mortar, the nano silica was replaced at 1–10% in various studies as a replacement for cement and NS at

2–3% replacement was found optimal and it also enhanced the strength of the mortar.

8. In the case of geopolymer concrete, nano silica replacement done for fly ash at 2% possessed good microstructural properties hence increasing the strength of the concrete too.
9. Ultra-high-strength concrete can be produced with good bond properties and dense microstructure with 1% of NS replacement for cement.
10. Incorporation of NS along with steel fibers in fiber reinforced concrete improvised good mechanical properties.
11. The mechanical and durability properties of self-compacting concrete can be enhanced by the combined effect of nano silica and fibers added to it.

Thus, from the above study it can be said that the NS can be effectively used as a replacement for cementitious materials especially cement to enhance the microstructural, strength and durability properties of the material in which it is used. In overall, it can be known that the utilization of nano silica as a construction material will be more advantageous thus improving the properties of the material in which it is used.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. B. Carmona, R. M. Oliveira, W. T. L. Silva, L. H. C. Mahoso, and J. M. Marconcini, "Nano silica from rice husk: extraction and characterization," *Ind. Crops Prod.*, vol. 43, pp. 291–6, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.06.050>.
- [2] A. Lazaro, and H. J. H. Brouwers, "Nano-silica production by a sustainable process; application in building materials," in *8th fib PhD symposium in Kgs, Denmark, Lyngby*, pp. 1–6, 2010.
- [3] G. Quercia, A. Lazaro, J. W. Geus, and H. J. H. Brouwers, "Characterization of morphology and texture of several amorphous nano-silica particles used in concrete," *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 44, pp. 77–92, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2013.05.006>.
- [4] T.-H. Liou, and C.-C. Yang, "Synthesis and surface characteristics of nano silica produced from alkali-extracted rice husk ash," *Mater. Sci. Eng. B*, vol. 176, pp. 521–9, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2011.01.007>.
- [5] H. Li, H.-G. Xiao, J. Yuan, and J. Ou, "Microstructure of cement mortar with nano-particles," *Composites B.*, vol. 35, 2004, pp. 185–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-8368\(03\)00052-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-8368(03)00052-0).
- [6] J. Bjornstorm, A. Martinelli, A. Matic, L. Borjesson, and I. Panas, "Accelerating effects of colloidal nano-silica for beneficial calcium-silicate-hydrate formation in cement," *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 392, pp. 242–8, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2004.05.071>.
- [7] K. L. Lin, W. C. Chang, D. F. Lin, H. L. Luo, and M. C. Tsai, "Effects of nano SiO₂ and different ash particles sizes on sludge ash cement mortar," *J. Environ. Manage.*, vol. 88, pp. 708–14, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2007.03.036>.
- [8] B.-W. Jo, C.-H. Kim, G.-H. Tae, and P. Jong-Bin, "Characteristics of cement mortar with nano SiO₂ particles," *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 21, pp. 1351–5, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2005.12.020>.
- [9] M. Stefanidou, and I. Papayianni, "Influence of nano SiO₂ on the Portland cement pastes," *Composites B.*, vol. 43, pp. 2706–10, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2011.12.015>.
- [10] L. Senff, J. A. Labrincha, and V. M. Ferreira, D. Hotza, and W. L. Repette, "Effect of nano-silica on rheology and fresh properties of cement pastes and mortars," *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 23, pp. 2487–91, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2009.02.005>.
- [11] Q. Ye, Z. Zhang, D. Kong, and R. Chen, "Influence of nano-SiO₂ addition on properties of hardened cement paste as compared with silica fume," *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 21, pp. 539–45, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2005.09.001>.
- [12] Y. Wang, S. Li, P. Hughes, and Y. Fan, "Mechanical properties and microstructure of basalt fibre and nano-silica reinforced recycled concrete after exposure to elevated temperatures," *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 247, p. 118561, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.118561>.
- [13] B. Bhusan Mukharjee, and S. V. Barai, "Influence of incorporation of colloidal nano-silica on behaviour of concrete," *Iranian J. Sci. Technol. Trans. Civil Eng.*, vol. 44, pp. 657–68, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40996-020-00382-0>.
- [14] J. Musdif Their, and M. Ozacka, "Developing geopolymer concrete by using cold-bonded fly ash aggregate, nano-silica and steel fiber," *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 180, pp. 12–22, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.05.274>.
- [15] S. M. Mustakim, S. K. Das, J. Mishra, A. Aftab, T. S. Alomayri, H. S. Assaedi, and C. R. Kaze, "Improvement in fresh, mechanical and microstructural properties of fly ash-blast furnace slag based geopolymer concrete by addition of nano and micro silica," *Silicon*, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12633-020-00593-0>.
- [16] N. Peem, V. Sata, A. Wongs, K. Srinavin, and P. Chindaprasit, "Recycled aggregate high calcium fly ash geopolymer concrete with inclusion of OPC and nano-SiO₂," *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 174, pp. 244–52, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.04.123>.
- [17] P. S. Deb, P. K. Sarker, and S. Barbhuiya, "Effects of nano silica on the strength development of geopolymer cured at room temperature," *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 101, pp. 675–83, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.10.044>.
- [18] M. Jalal, A. Pouladkhan, O. F. Harandi, and D. Jafari, "Comparative study on effects of class F fly ash, nano silica and silica fume on properties of high-performance self compacting concrete," *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 94, pp. 90–104, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.07.001>.
- [19] S. Fallah, and M. Nematzadeh, "Mechanical properties and durability of high-strength concrete containing macro-polymeric and polypropylene fibers with nano-silica and silica fume," *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 132, pp. 170–87, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.11.100>.
- [20] Z. Wu, K. H. Khayat, and C. Shi, "Effect of nano-SiO₂ particles and curing time on development of fiber-matrix bond properties and microstructure of ultra-high strength concrete," *Cement Concrete Res.*, vol. 95, pp. 247–56, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.02.031>.
- [21] F. Hasan-Nattaj, and M. Nematzadeh, "The effect of forta-ferro and steel fibers on mechanical properties of high-strength concrete with ad without silica fume nano-silica," *Construct. Build. Mater.*,

- vol. 137, pp. 557–72, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.01.078>.
- [22] P. Ganesh, A. R. Murthy, S. Sundar Kumar, M. Mohammed Saffic Rehemam, and N. R. Iyer, “Effect of nano-silica on durability and mechanical properties of high-strength concrete,” *Mag. Concrete Res.*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 229–36, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1680/jmacr.14.00338>.
- [23] M. Amin, and K. Abu el-hassan, “Effect of using different types of nano materials on mechanical properties of high strength concrete,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 80, pp. 116–24, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.12.075>.
- [24] N. Toropovs, D. Bajare, G. Sahmenko, L. Krage, and A. Korjakins, “The formation of microstructure in high strength concrete containing micro and nano silica,” *Key Eng. Mater.*, vol. 604, pp. 83–6, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.604.83>.
- [25] M. Bastami, M. Baghbadrani, and F. Aslani, “Performance of nano-silica modified high strength concrete at elevated temperatures,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 68, pp. 402–8, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.06.026>.
- [26] M. Alhawati, and A. Ashour, “Bond strength between corroded steel and recycled aggregate concrete incorporating nano silica,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 237, p. 117441, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117441>.
- [27] S. Chithra, S. R. R. Senthil Kumar, and K. Chinnaraju, “The effect of colloidal nano-silica on workability, mechanical and durability properties of high-performance concrete with copper slag as partial fine aggregate,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 113, pp. 794–804, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.03.119>.
- [28] D. Adak, M. Sarkar, and S. Mandal, “Effect of nano-silica on strength and durability of fly ash based geopolymer mortar,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 70, pp. 453–9, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.07.093>.
- [29] S. A. Zareei, F. Ameri, N. Bahrami, P. Shoaee, R. M. Hamid, and N. Salemi, “Performance of sustainable high strength concrete with basic oxygen steel-making (BOS) slag and nano-silica,” *J. Build. Eng.*, vol. 25, p. 100791, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobbe.2019.100791>.
- [30] S. Gopinath, P. C. H. Mouli, A. R. Murthy, N. R. Iyer, and S. Maheswaran, “Effect of nano silica on mechanical properties and durability of normal strength concrete,” *Arch. Civil Eng.*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 433–44, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.2478/v.10169-012-0023-y>.
- [31] S. Haruehansapong, T. Pulngern, and S. Chucheeapsakul, “Effect of the particle size of nano silica on the compressive strength and the optimum replacement content of cement mortar containing nano-SiO₂,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 50, pp. 471–7, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.10.002>.
- [32] M. Nili, and E. Ahmad, “Investigating the effect of the cement paste and transition zone on strength development of concrete containing nano silica and silica fume,” *Mater. Des.*, vol. 75, pp. 174–83, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2015.03.024>.
- [33] N. Atmaca, M. L. Abbas, and A. Atmaca, “Effects of nano-silica on the gas permeability, durability and mechanical properties of high-strength light weight concrete,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 147, pp. 17–26, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.04.156>.
- [34] E. Ghafari, H. Costa, E. Julio, A. Portugal, and L. Duraes, “The effect of nano silica addition on flowability, strength and transport properties of ultra high-performance concrete,” *Mater. Des.*, vol. 59, pp. 1–9, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2014.02.051>.
- [35] M. Mahdikhani, O. Bamshad, and M. Fallah Shirvani, “Mechanical properties and durability of concrete specimens containing nano silica in sulphuric acid rain condition,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 167, pp. 929–35, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.01.137>.
- [36] H. Du, S. Du, and X. Liu, “Durability performance of concrete with nano-silica,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 73, pp. 705–12, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.10.014>.
- [37] S. W. Macquarie Supit, and F. U. Ahmed Shaik, “Durability properties of high-volume fly ash concrete containing nano-silica,” *Mater. Struct.*, vol. 48, pp. 2431–45, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-014-0329-0>.
- [38] L. G. Li, J. Zhu, Z. H. Huang, A. K. H. Kwan, and L. J. Li, “Combined effects of micro-silica and nano-silica on durability of mortar,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 157, pp. 337–47, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.09.105>.
- [39] M. H. Beigi, J. Berenjian, O. L. Omran, A. S. Nik, and I. M. Nikbin, “An experimental survey on combined effects of fibers and nano silica on the mechanical, rheological and durability properties of self-compacting concrete,” *Mater. Des.*, vol. 50, pp. 1019–29, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.03.046>.
- [40] K. Behfarnia, and N. Salemi, “The effects of nano-silica and nano-alumina on frost resistance of normal concrete,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 48, pp. 580–4, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.07.088>.
- [41] J. Tao, “Preliminary study on the water permeability and micro-structure of concrete containing nano SiO₂,” *Cement Concr. Res.*, vol. 35, pp. 1943–7, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2005.07.004>.
- [42] J. Alex, J. Dhanalakshmi, and B. Ambedkar, “Experimental investigation on rice husk ash as cement replacement on concrete production,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 127, pp. 353–62, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.09.150>.
- [43] K. P. Daman, *Developments in the Formulation and Reinforcement of Concrete*, 2nd ed. Woodhead Publishing, 2019.
- [44] H. S. Lee, B. Balasubramanian, G. V. T. Gopalakrishna, K. Seung-Jun, S. P. Karthick, and V. Saraswathy, “Durability performance of CNT and nanosilica admixed cement mortar,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 159, pp. 463–72, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.11.003>.
- [45] L. P. Singh, S. R. Karade, S. K. Bhattacharyya, M. Mohamed Yousuf, and S. Ahalawat, “Beneficial role of nanosilica in cement based materials – a review,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 47, pp. 1069–77, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.05.052>.
- [46] M. Schneider, M. Romer, M. Tschudin, and H. Bolio, “Sustainable cement production—present and future,” *Cement concrete Res.*, vol. 41, no. 7, pp. 642–50, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2011.03.019>.
- [47] E. Güneysi, M. Gesoglu, A. Al-Goody, and S. İpek, “Fresh and rheological behavior of nano-silica and fly ash blended self-compacting concrete,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 95, pp. 29–44, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.07.142>.
- [48] N. Hani, N. Omar, K. S. Ragab, and K. Mohamed, “The effect of different water/binder ratio and nano-silica dosage on the fresh and hardened properties of self-compacting concrete,” *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 165, pp. 504–13, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.01.045>.

- [49] L. G. Li, J. Y. Zheng, J. Zhu, and A. K. H. Kwan, "Combined usage of micro-silica and nano-silica in concrete: SP demand, cementing efficiencies and synergistic effect," *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 168, pp. 622–32, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.02.181>.
- [50] B. B. Mukharjee, and S. V. Barai, "Influence of nano-silica on the properties of recycled aggregate concrete," *Construct. Build. Mater.*, vol. 55, pp. 29–37, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.01.003>.