INVESTIGATION OF RAILROAD BALLAST PARTICLE BREAKAGE
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Abstract: This paper summarizes the authors’ up-to-date results in the research topic of railway ballast particles’ breakage test with individual laboratory test. In the past few years there were a lot of railway rehabilitation and maintenance project in Hungary, as well as abroad. The largest part of world’s railways has traditional superstructure, i.e. they are so called ballasted tracks. The railway ballast is the highest mass in the railways’ superstructure. Nowadays, it is a naturally fact that there is enough quantity of railway ballast in adequate quality. However, due to the modifications and restrictions in the related regulations since 2010, there are only few quarries in Hungary, which are able to ensure adequate railway ballast material for railway construction and maintenance projects for speed values between 120 and 160 km/h. Quarrying industry is stricken by aggravated environmental, heritage and conservation regulations year by year, it limits the accessibility of mineral wealth in significant manner. This fact with quality requirements means supply and quality risk in production of railway ballast in medium term. The main goal of authors’ research is to be able to simulate the stress-strain effect of ballast particles in real and objective manner in laboratory circumstances, as well as in discrete element method modeling. This paper introduces the exact assembly of executed laboratory test and newest test results. The authors summarize the up-to-date international literature review, using that they give short outlook to the planned research with research directions in near future.
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1. Introduction and research problem

The authors published an article [1] in 2015 with results of a Research & Development (R&D) on unique breakage test method in laboratory related to railway ballast. They would like to supplement that paper [1] with relevant, up-to-date outcomes.

The rock physical adequacy of railway ballast materials is determined by laboratory tests in Hungary, as well as in international practice (mainly in the EU) formulated in the same material standard. These standardized tests are the Micro-Deval abrasion test according to MSZ EN 1097-1:2012 [2], as well as Los Angeles abrasion test in the accordance with MSZ EN 1097-2:2010 [3], these are determined in the MSZ EN 13450:2003 standard [4]. These types of tests are exceedingly adequate for defining abrasion characteristics of a given aggregate sample and for ensuring production stability in the quarries, these are indispensable to be able to guarantee the required quality and to ensure the checking possibility of quality level in case of ready constructed railway tracks. The authors developed a special, unique laboratory test method (referring to antecedent [1], [5]) because the other testing methods cannot consider the real particle degradation (abrasion and breakage) due to dynamic vehicle effect (forces and vibration).

The authors compared the results received from the laboratory tests using new testing method with the required (prescribed) limits in standards [1]-[3], as well as related regulation of Hungarian Railways (MÁV) [6]. Required time intervals of ballast screening are able to be calculated according to laboratory test results.

2. Antecedents of the research

The research topic has considerably international literature, sources. Foreign researchers dealt with different areas and they elaborated different methods as follows:

- laboratory tests [7]-[16];
- in-situ tests in railway tracks [17], [18];
- Discrete Element Modeling (DEM) and/or 3D particle generation [10], [18], [19];
- Finite Element Modeling (FEM) [10].

Researchers formulated special indexes, constants and parameters (e.g. Ballast Breakage Index (BBI), Marshal, Hardin, Lee and Farhoomand breakages, etc.) that helped the authors with their research.

The following main sub-topics’ methods and laboratory tests from international literature review were taken into consideration:

- searching of relationship between railway ballast aggregate abrasion, as well as cohesion, inner friction angle and the water permeability of material and its layer [12];
- investigation of ‘angularity breakage’ phenomena [14];
• determination of relationship between Particle Size Distribution (PSD) and particle degradation phenomenon of ballast aggregate, as well as definition of better PSD for real loadings [11], [12];
• at the field of DEM generation method of much more realistic particle shapes was investigated and DEM models were validated [20];
• execution of laboratory and field tests with and without geosynthetic inclusions, measurement of railway ballast’s breakage [9], [10], [11], [17];
• investigation of ballast particle breakage due to tie tamping [7]-[8];
• investigation of glued ballast technique [13].

The authors collected the following relevant statements without the demand of completeness and they are not in raw of importance:

• limit values for Los Angeles Abrasion (LA_RB) Railway Ballast (RB) is max. 20% in Canada, 25% in Australia, 40% in Brazil and in USA [21] and max. 25-35% in India [22], [23] that differ significantly from limits values required in Europe, e.g. in Austria LA_RB is max. 13% and the Micro-Deval Abrasion (M_DE_RB) is max. 10% [24];
• water permeability values related to Los Angeles abrasion test samples after 250, 500, 750 and 1000 revolutions were $6.11 \times 10^1$, $2.7 \times 10^1$, $1.32 \times 10^1$ and $1.27 \times 10^1$ cm/s, respectively, that are dominantly diverge from relatively clean ballast sample ($3.1 \times 10^1$ cm/s) [21];
• the higher the degradation, the lower the aggregate’s cohesion, but it does not affect the inner friction angle; the strengths of both the fresh and the recycled ballast material hang on the initial degradation level; cohesion of railway ballast sample after 800 revs in Los Angeles drum decreases almost to zero; ballast mixture from 30% fresh and 70% recycled aggregates has almost the same mechanical strength as the aggregate from 100% fresh ballast [12];
• the ‘angularity breakage’ evolved at lower stress levels and concludes with breakage of edges and corners of particles; there is a linear function correlation between ‘confining stress’ and deviator stress; there is higher ‘confining stress’ at higher dilation angle ($\psi$); breakage can be first seen at the top and bottom planes during monotonic triaxial tests because there are the highest particle interactions [14];
• ballast samples from real railway tracks (five years after construction) degraded much less compared with fresh ballast material, it can be explained with the particle shape: inner particle friction values were less in case of older ballast (there were more slips between particles) [18];
• hard sandstone ballast samples were tested by Los Angeles abrasion tests without steel balls, abrasion was evaluated after 100, 200, 300 and 400 revs (22.4/63 mm, LA_RB=11%…15%) [19];
• Particle degradation at low and high ‘confining stress’ values is very significant, but in mid-interval it is minimal; the degradation can be categorized into three obvious zones: Dilatant Unstable Degradation Zone (DUDZ), Optimum Degradation Zone (ODZ), as well as Compressive Stable Degradation Zone (CSDZ); the best (optimal) ‘confining stress’ value is between 30 and 75 kPa in
case of cyclic tri-axial tests, it occurs the minimal degradation that is advantageous in the aspect of maintenance [10];

- the relevant lowest particle degradation was observed in case of cyclic tri-axial laboratory tests regarding to 1.8 coefficient of uniformity ($C_u$), it is confirmed with three dimensional laser scanner measurements, too, considering this aspect new, better PSD was developed [11];
- new glue material with resin base was examined, which procedure is able to improve the mechanical behavior of railway ballast [13];
- particle degradation is not relevant in real circumstances until 10,000 train axles; in case $L_{A_{RB}}$ is improved from 24% to 12%, the particle degradation decreases with 50%; in case of ‘old’ ballast material the Los Angeles test does not give objective results; fresh ballast material can resist against approx. 40 tamping cycles without ballast screening [25];
- in case of fresh ballast material ($C_u=1.5$) both deformations and abrasion are higher than a recycled ballast aggregate ($C_u=1.8$); it is able to be explained with the formed contact stresses [17];
- three well separated ranges (zones) can be determined related to ballast deformation according to DEM simulations and cyclic tri-axial tests: plastic shakedown, plastic creep, as well as incremental collapse; in plastic shakedown range the strain rate quick decreases below than $10^{-6}$ and there will be some broken particles; in plastic creep range stable and stationary deformation can be observed, there will be some breakage; the range of plastic creep broaden when the ‘confining stress’ is raised; in incremental collapse range particle breakage and permanent deformation can be experienced that is principally because of shearing collapse; at low confining stress values the test frequency does not influence the collapse, at higher ‘confining stress’ values the higher the test frequency the lower stress is needed to collapse [20].

3. Procedure and parameters of the laboratory test

A special laboratory dynamic pulsating testing method and procedure were developed as a part of an R&D financed by Colas Északkő Ltd. in 2014. In 2014 a research report was written, after that more papers were published in this topic [26]-[29].

In 2017 and 2018 this testing and evaluating method were improved (taking into account of more precise deterioration process, considering only determined particle fraction, etc.) and the authors used the following parameters during measurements and evaluation:

- two different types of railway ballast samples from andesite material and from different quarries (samples are in accordance with MSZ EN 13450:2003 [4], A type, 31.5/50 mm, the authors received them from Colas Északkő Ltd.);
- the samples have the following stone physic parameters (laboratory test were done by Colas Északkő Ltd.’s accredited laboratory):
  - sample No. 1: $L_{A_{RB}}=19\%$, $M_{DE_{RB}}=17\%$;
sample No. 2: \( L_{\text{AR}}=16\%, M_{\text{DRE}}=4\% \);
- determination of PSD curves with screening related to sub-samples Before Pulsating (BP) test;
- dynamic pulsating tests in more ‘phases’ (i.e. until 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 3.0 and 5.0 million cycles), in every test with only fresh ballast material with particle fraction \( d \geq 22.4 \) mm (before pulsating \( d < 22.4 \) mm particles were screened out and they were not put back), where \( d \) is the size of the particle;
- determination of PSD curves with screening related to sub-samples After Pulsating (AP) test.

The individual laboratory testing method is a dynamic pulsating test for that the six lower frames of a 10-level steel shear box were used [1], [29]. Frames were fixed together with steel metric screws that hinder the horizontal relative displacements. Steel rolls were not in the assembly at the down side of mentioned shear box.

The built-up layer structure is the following (from down to top) (see Fig. 1):
- 20-cm-thick layer from eXtruded PolyStyrol (XPS, type: Austrotherm Thermopan) sheets on the whole 1.0×1.0 m area;
- simple layer from 150 g/m\(^2\) mass geotextile (type: Naue Secutex 151 GRK on the whole 1.0×1.0 m area);
- 10-cm-thick sand (\( E_{\text{2-XPS+10 cm sand}}=20.42 \) MPa according to MSZ 2509-3:1989 [30];
- simple layer heat treated, non-woven, high strength geotextile with 1200 g/m\(^2\) mass (type: Viacon GEO PP TC 1200) on the whole 1.0×1.0 m area;
- 30-cm-thick crushed stone (cross section: 46×46 cm);
- steel loading plate with 46×42 cm area + \( D=300 \) mm steel plate with circular shape, where \( D \) is the diameter;
- wooden sleepers around the crushed stone samples at the side of box, whole thickness is approx. 30 cm.

![Fig. 1. Measurement precept of new developed laboratory breakage test](image)

Crushed stone railway ballast samples were put in the middle of shear box into a 46×46 cm area and 30 cm height space where wooden sleepers are around, as it can be seen in Fig. 1. Reducing and excluding wall effect the inner sides of wooden sleepers...
were covered with 1200 g/m² mass geotextile layers (where stones and wooden sleepers would interact). A 46×42 cm area steel loading plate and a D=300 mm circular steel plate were put onto ballast samples to be able to achieve uniform load distribution. The assembly without loading plates can be seen in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2. Assembly procedure of testing box with layer structure, wooden sleepers, geotextile layers, as well as crushed stone ballast sample, but without steel loading plates](image)

Laboratory measurements were executed with dynamic actuator in previously defined loading cycles. Laboratory test parameters (frequency, load values, etc.) were published in [1], [5] papers in detailed formats, they are not written here due to limited space. After pulsating tests PSD data sets were determined (measured) beside them several parameters (see below) were calculated [1], [5]:

- \( F_V (\%) \);
- BBI;
- \( B_R \) (\( B_R \) is a parameter is similar to BBI, but it considers different areas in PSD [31]);
- \( d < 22.4 \) mm in mass percentage;
- \( d < 0.5 \) mm in mass percentage;
- \( d < 0.063 \) mm in mass percentage;
- \( d_{60}/d_{10} \) ratio;
- \( M \) ratio (where \( M \) is a special shape factor of PSD curve of railway ballast [32], and \( M \) ratio means the ratio of \( M_{AP}/M_{BP} \));
- \( \lambda \) ratio (where \( \lambda \) is a special shape factor of PSD curve of railway ballast that considers standard ballast PSD, as well [32], and \( \lambda \) ratio means the ratio of \( \lambda_{AB}/\lambda_{BP} \)).

Required time intervals of ballast screening were also computed with the help of deterioration process obtained from parameters above, as well as prescribed values from standards.

### 4. Results of last measurements

The two ballast samples detailed in Section 3 tested until 5-5 million cycles with dynamic pulsating laboratory test in more phases. Parameters calculated from PSD data sets are plotted in diagrams as a function of pulsating cycles in Fig. 3.
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Following statements can be phrased in the consideration of the maximum 5-5 million loading cycles, as well as results in Fig. 3.

There are significant correlations in case of four of the nine calculated parameters (linear and power regression functions, independent variable are the number of loading cycles:

- \( F_V \), i.e. the parameter that indicates the necessity of ballast screening recommended by the South African Railways [33]. This parameter can be approximated by power regression function as a function of the number of loading cycles. In case number of loading cycles is 5 million, \( F_V \) equals to 5.0 and 6.5%. It is an interested fact that better ballast sample (considering stone physics, i.e. sample #2 has lower LA\(_{RB}\) and M\(_{DE}\)\(_{RB}\) values than sample #1) deteriorates faster that can be verified by the equation of determined power regression functions, (see Fig. 3). Ballast screening has to be executed if \( F_V = 80\% \) according to literature [33]. In case power regression functions are considered and number of loading cycles is calculated related to \( F_V = 80\% \), they are \( 2.1 \times 10^{16} \) cycles and \( 2.24 \times 10^{11} \) cycles (for sample #1 and sample #2, respectively). These values are unrealistic high (compared to Kelenföld-
Hegyeshalom state boarder, No. 1 railway mainline in Hungary - where the loading is approx. 15 million MGT/year, i.e. 666,667 axles/year - and taking into consideration the common used time interval of ballast screening (12-15 years) [33], it means approx. 8-10 million loading cycles (through-rolled axles). In this aspect, results obtained from analysis of $F_v$ parameter and its extrapolation cannot be used dependably.

- **BBI** parameter that was introduced by Indraratna and Lachkenby [10], [11] to be able to calculate and assess the changing of PSD (i.e. the high fine and finest content) of tested samples and their quality. For calculation of **BBI** index PSD curves related to BP and AP have to be determined. There is linear regression correlation between **BBI** and number of loading cycles, but it has to be mentioned that in case of sample #1 the $R^2$ coefficient is negative (mathematic meaning: the given correlation is worse than an $y=c$ (constant) function). It has to be mentioned that **BBI**(0)=0 was a border condition. If measured data before 1.0 million loading cycle are analyzed they are outliers from the defined linear trend. To be able to evaluate fair the measurements are needed to repeat. **BBI** values are approx. 0.15% and 0.20% at 5 million loading cycles related to tested ballast material samples. It is an interested fact again that sample #2 has higher tangent (steeper slope) than sample #1 (see $F_v$, too) (neglecting the negative $R^2$ value because after 1.5 million loading cycles the given linear trend can be assumed). According to literature [10], [11] ballast screening is needed if **BBI**=1.0. In case numbers of loading cycles related to both samples are computed in the accordance **BBI**=1.0, the results are 31.45 million and 25.84 million cycles (for sample #1 and #2, respectively). They mean approx. 47-year and 39-year time intervals.

- **BRr** parameter that takes after **BBI** because the reference area is calculated like in case of **BBI** [31]. In this way the linear regression functions and correlation are very close to results discussed at **BBI**. There is no technical recommendation for ballast screening related to **BRr** value.

- There are power regression relationships between ‘d<22.4 mm in mass percentage’ parameter and number of loading cycles, (see Fig. 3). This parameter’s values are approx. 3.0% and 4.25% if the number of loading cycles is $5 \times 10^6$ related to investigate andesite materials. It is surprising fact again that sample #2 has quicker deterioration than sample #1. According to literature [33] ballast screening has to be performed if d<22.4 mm is equal or higher than 30%. In case numbers of loading cycles has to be determined they are the following: $2.17 \times 10^{12}$ and $3.27 \times 10^{10}$ cycles (for sample #1 and #2, respectively) that are unrealistic values.

- The **M** and $\lambda$ ratio parameters [32] can be approximated by linear regression function (see Fig. 3). The tangent of regression function is higher in case of sample #2 than sample #1 (the sign is positive for sample #2 and negative for sample #1). If measured data are analyzed more detailed, it can be experienced that there is an outlier point in case of sample #2 (datum related to 5-million-cycle, both **M** ratio and $\lambda$ ratio parameters), the reason of it has to be searched by additional tests.
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5. Summary, future scope, outlook

There are not significant correlations (neither linear nor power) in case of other five of the nine calculated parameters if the independent variable is the number of loading cycles.

It has to be mentioned that the authors considered the following neglects related to calculation of time intervals between ballast screenings:

- machine-made and/or manual tamping occurred breakage;
- deterioration effect accelerated by substructure defect or superstructure defect;
- other ballast polluting effects (e.g. dust, concrete sleeper abrasion, breakage, in case of water pockets the increase of fine particle content in the ballast bed because of evolving pumping effect due to repeated dynamic load, etc.);
- in the whole ballast cross section comparable amount of breakage is not formulated as the one that was measured in referred laboratory tests (e.g. there is hardly no breakage in the ballast shoulder, etc.);
- only 225 kN axle load was taken into consideration (it is true for freight trains, for passenger trains about 180 kN value would be more realistic);
- effects of other dynamic loadings (e.g. welts, rail joints, turnout frogs [34]-[39]);
- effects of track geometry and its degradation [40].

This paper introduced the research problem related to railway ballast particle degradation and sentenced that a newly developed laboratory testing method can be adequate for measure and evaluate ballast materials’ breakage using dynamic pulsating load (fatigue). This procedure can guarantee that ballast samples are tested in more realistic circumstances than during standardized abrasion tests.

The authors summarized the up-to-date results of relevant international literature in this research topic; they made a so called state of the art related to ballast particle breakage.

It can be concluded that better ballast material (in the aspect of stone physics) does not deteriorate slower than the worse one. Parameters that are used internationally were calculated, as well as the deterioration process was approximated linear or power regression functions related to all parameters. There are only five from nine parameters to them the authors found significant correlation.

Time interval values of ballast screening were defined considering technical prescriptions, standards and handbooks. This calculation could be made for $F_v$, $BBI$ and $d<22.4$ mm parameters. Only $BBI$ gave nearly acceptable results, in case of the others the results are not realistic, they can’t be accepted.

In some cases, additional control measurements have to be executed in the laboratory to be able to assess the measured data.

The authors would like to search the correlation (relationship) - as future scope - between standardized parameters (Los Angeles and Micro-Deval abrasions), the prognosticated time interval between ballast screening, as well as the results from their laboratory tests. In the beginning of 2019 a modified layer structure will be considered because the extruded polystyrol sheets were significantly deformed. A stiffer layer (e.g. granular protection layer or steel plate, maybe) can be modified laboratory tests’ results.
in better way, as well as difference between substructure circumstances with XPS sheets and stiffer layer is able to be published.

The time consumption of newly developed testing method is significantly high, in this way the authors would like to execute laboratory tests with lower time demand (e.g. particle splitting tests), so relevant statements can be sentenced sooner.

Beside them field tests are planned in railway lines in Hungary. The authors would like to collect samples from old railway lines where ballast aggregates have known PSD at time of construction. The actual PSD can be determined and the changing can be defined, too. In case a lot of these kinds of measurements are able to be performed the comparison (not only field samples but the others from laboratory dynamic pulsating tests) can supply valuable results.

In the laboratory measured particle breakage values are much higher than the values in real circumstances in tracks, either in tracks with maintenance (ballast screening) demand. The reason is the only type of loading form used in laboratory. The authors would like to develop their methodology to be able to assess the particle degradation more realistic. Tamping machines also break ballast particles, so this kind of effect is needed to be considered in the future research. Other additional dynamic loading effect can’t be neglected in sophisticated methods, e.g. surroundings of rail welds, rail joints, as well as switch frogs where higher ballast breakage should be expected.

DEM simulations with particle flow code software (e.g. Itasca PFC\textsuperscript{3D}) can be useful in the future researches to be able to evaluate particle degradation. With this DEM method the expensive laboratory tests can be saved (if the model is validated with laboratory measurements), influence effect of lots of parameters can be considered, e.g. particle shape, PSD, stone physics, abraded particles, geosynthetic reinforcements, depth of ballast, etc.

The authors’ future aim is to utilize of the results and maybe to adopt these results of the research into national regulations, standards.
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