Development and Validation of Stability-Indicating RP-HPLC Method for the Simultaneous Determination of Tizanidine HCl and Meloxicam in Rabbit's Plasma
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High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is a widely used technique for the simultaneous detection and quantification of different drugs. The purpose of the current study was to develop a simple and cost-effective reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) method for the simultaneous determination of tizanidine (TZN) HCl and meloxicam (MLX) in rabbit's plasma. Assay of TZN and MLX was performed after extraction of drug from plasma by liquid–liquid extraction technique using methanol and diethyl ether as protein precipitants. Isocratic elution was performed in a Kromasil® C18 column (dimension, 250 × 4.60 mm; particle size, 5 μm) with mobile phase consisting of methanol–water (8:2). Orthophosphoric acid was used to adjust the pH of the mobile phase 3.0, and detection was done at 228 nm. Flow rate was 0.8 mL/min with ambient temperature and average operating pressure of 1400 psig. Retention time of TZN was 2.612 min and that of MLX was 6.960 min with a resolution of 3.18. Both drugs showed satisfactory linearity in the range of 10 to 50 ng/mL with correlation coefficients (R2) of 0.9989 and 0.9972 for TZN and MLX, respectively. The developed method was validated successfully for linearity, system suitability, intra-day and inter-day accuracy, and precision, robustness, and specificity following International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines. Conclusively, a precise, stable, reproducible, economical, and suitable method for estimation of pharmacokinetic evaluation was developed and validated.
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Introduction

Tizanidine (TZN) is an associate imidazoline derivative used as potent muscle relaxant. It acts on centrally located α2 receptors for producing monolync response on skeletal muscle [1]. It is a drug of choice in multiple sclerosis, spinal cord injury, and spasticity related pathologies. TZN is also used in different painful conditions like myofacial, refractory, neuropathic, and chronic tension associated pain. TZN is extensively absorbed across gastrointestinal tract (GIT). Peak plasma concentration is attained in 1 to 2 h after oral administration. It has 30% protein binding and undergoes extensive 1st pass metabolism. Chemically, it is [5-chloro-4-(2-imidazolin-2-ylamino)-2,1,3-benzothiazine-3-carboxamide-1,1-dioxide].

Materials and Methods

TZN and MLX were received as generous gift from Pharmedic Laboratories Lahore Pakistan. Orthophosphoric acid, chloroform, dichloromethane, and ethanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany. Diethyl ether, methanol, acetonitrile, sodium hydroxide, and dihydrogen potassium phosphate were obtained from Merck, Darmstadt, Germany. Double distilled water was freshly prepared in the research laboratory of Department of Pharmacy, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan. All the reagents and chemicals used were of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade.

Animals

Albino rabbits having an average weight of 3 kg were used in the study. They were kept in the environment with plenty of water and air. They were fed on standard chow diet composed of protein, carbohydrates, fats, fibers, and micronutrients with ad libitum water.
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Instrumentation

HPLC CTO-20A/20AC (Shimadzu, Japan), LC Solution Software-Schimadzu HPLC, Column Kromasil® C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm; particle size, 5 μm), and CTO-20A Column Oven (Shimadzu, Japan) were used for analytical process. Bench top pH meter with 25CW microprocessor (Bante Instruments, China) was used for pH measurement of the solutions. Materials were weighed by using weighing balance (JISICO J-HSD180 Korea), while hot plate magnetic stirrer (JISICO J-HSD180 Korea) was used in mixing and solution preparation. Sonication and centrifugation were performed by sonicator (DSA 100-SK1 Korea) and centrifuge machine (Heraeus, Osterode, Germany), respectively. Other instruments used in the project were as follows: vortex mixer (Whirl Mixer, England), filtration assembly (Sartorius, Gorringen, Germany), Spinney filter assembly (Millipore, England), Hamilton microfilter syringe (Hamilton, Switzerland), micropipette (Mettler Toledo, Schwerzenbach, England), heparinized vacutainer (Becton Dickinson, Pakistan), and plastic syringes (1 cc, 5 cc, and 10 cc; Becton Dickinson, Pakistan).

Method

Preparation of Mobile Phase and Standard Solutions.

Mobile phase was composed of a mixture of methanol and water in the ratio of 8:2 having pH at 3.0 adjusted by orthophosphoric acid and was prepared in volumetric flask.

Injection Volume and Sample Run Time.

Twenty microliters of sample volume was injected, and run time was adjusted to 10 min after confirming that elution of both drugs is within 10 min.

Wavelength of Detection.

Detection wavelength was selected as 228 nm for simultaneous determination of TZN and MLX.

Flow Rate.

The flow rate of mobile phase was 0.8 mL/min at ambient temperature and 1400 psig column pressure.


Both drugs, TZN and MLX, were equally weighed and dissolved in 50 mL methanol. A 1.0 μg/mL solution of drugs was taken as standard stock solution. Secondary stock solution of 100 ng/mL in plasma was prepared by spiking standard stock solution in 1.0 mL of blank plasma, which was further used for the preparation of solutions 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 ng/mL concentrations by serial dilution [1].

Determination of TZN and MLX in Rabbit Plasma.

Commonly used liquid–liquid extraction method was adapted for the extraction of TZN HCl and MLX from the plasma [4]. Five milliliters of methanol was added into plasma, vortexed for 10 min to ensure proper mixing, and centrifuged for 20 min at 5000 rpm. Supernatant was carefully transferred to another test tube and was dried by using light stream of nitrogen at 40 °C. Dried sample was reconstituted in 200 μL of mobile phase, then vortexed for 2 min, and recentrifuged for 5 min at 5000 rpm. Twenty microliters of the ultimate sample was taken, filtered through syringe filter (Sartorius, 0.22 μm), and injected into the HPLC system via injection loop for analysis.

Validation of the Developed Method.

Validation of the developed method was carried out as per International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines analyzing different parameters, i.e., linearity, precision, accuracy, specificity, system suitability, and robustness [5, 6].

Linearity and Calibration Curves.

Linearity was evaluated and determined between different concentrations in the range of 10 to 50 ng/mL. Samples were analyzed in triplicate, and calibration curve was constructed by taking concentrations at x axis and peak area on y axis, and coefficient of correlation ($R^2$) was determined by applying straight line equation [7, 8].

System Suitability.

System suitability was demonstrated by injecting half-dozen injections containing 2 ng/mL of each TZN and MLX. Continual injections were used to assess the system suitability on every day of method validation, and features including percent relative standard deviation (%RSD), tailing factor, and theoretical plate were calculated.

Intra-Day and Inter-Day Precision.

Five samples of three different concentrations, i.e., 2, 6, and 10 ng/mL, in plasma were analyzed at different time in the same day for inter-day precision determination and analyzed for three consecutive days for intra-day precision. Concentrations were calculated using standard calibration curves [9, 10].

Robustness.

Capacity of the developed method to remain unaffected by minor variation in the chromatographic conditions such as flow rate and organic contents in mobile phase was determined [11]. It was done by injecting sample under set conditions, and assay of TZN and MLX was performed [12].

Accuracy.

Recovery studies were conducted to find out the accuracy of the method, and it was established by comparative study of drug spiked in plasma and in mobile phase [13]. Three different concentrations (10, 20, and 30 ng/mL), each with 5 replicates, were examined for determination of recovery [14].

Specificity.

In order to confirm that the developed method was specific, interventions of other components including mobile phase and plasma were detected, and for this purpose, repeated injections of mobile phase, plasma, and drug samples were injected [15].

Limit of Quantification and Limit of Detection.

Limit of quantification (LOQ) and limit of detection (LOD) were established by analysis of different concentrations (0.50, 1.0, 5, 7.5, and 10 ng/mL). They were determined by using signal-to-noise ratio of 3:1 and 10:1 [16, 17]. Mathematically,

$$LOD = 3 \sigma / S$$

$$LOQ = 10 \sigma / S.$$
Plasma Stability Study of the Drugs. Freeze and thaw method was used for the stability analysis of plasma, containing drugs [5, 18, 19]. This stability study was evaluated with two concentrations levels, i.e., low (10 ng/mL) and high (50 ng/mL) concentrations, each having 15 samples. Samples were made frozen at a temperature of about −20 °C for 24 h. Then, a set of the samples was defrozen and analyzed while the rest of the samples were kept frozen for the remaining 24 h. Other two cycles of the studies were subjected to the same procedure to complete the stability studies [20]. A comparison of these samples was done with the freshly prepared samples.

Results and Discussions
The need was to develop and validate a method in rabbit’s plasma to assure its effectiveness in in vivo pharmacokinetic studies of TZN and MLX after administration of prepared buccal films to the rabbits. Feasibility of different solvent systems methanol–water, acetonitrile–water, and acetonitrile–phosphate buffer in different compositions, pumped at different flow rates (in the range of 0.5–1.5 mL/min) having variable pH range (2.0–7.0) and at different column oven temperatures (in the range of 25–40 °C) was evaluated. Different retention times and peak behaviors were recorded (Figure 1). The best results were obtained using methanol–water in the ratio of 80:20, v/v (pH adjusted to 3.0 with orthophosphoric acid at a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min). While optimizing the composition of mobile phase, pH was fixed to 3.0, and while assessing the effect of pH of mobile phase, the composition of mobile phase was fixed as methanol–water (80:20, v/v). Retention times of all analytes were variable at different pH values, composition of mobile phase, and flow rates. When pH of mobile phase was decreased from 7.0, the retention times of analytes were very short, but when pH was gradually decreased, the retention times were found increasing. Below pH 3.0, the retention times increased but symmetry of the peaks was disturbed. At pH 3.0, sharp peaks with good symmetry and reasonable retention times were obtained. Similarly, when compositions and flow rates of the mobile phase were changed, different peak behaviors were recorded. However, the best results were obtained with the mobile phase composition methanol–water (80:20, v/v) and flow rate of 0.8 mL/min. TZN is a basic compound, and MLX has acidic and basic groups. Change of mobile phase pH caused a change in the ionization of these analytes and in the retention behavior. Various detection wavelengths in the ultraviolet (UV) range of 220–365 nm were tried for monitoring of all analytes. Keeping in view the theoretical values of molar absorptivity coefficient of TZN and MLX, the wavelength 228 nm was selected as the optimum wavelength for separation, detection, and determination of TZN and MLX. Five different types of columns were used to find better separation of drug. While selecting the best column for analysis, all other parameters were kept constant. Kromasil® C18 (HPLC column; 250 mm × 4.6 mm; particle size, 5 μm) was selected for HPLC analysis of TZN and MLX in this study.

Method Development in Rabbit Plasma. The experimental conditions such as mobile phase having the composition of methanol–water in the ratio of 80:20 (v/v), pH adjusted to 3.0, flow rate of 0.8 mL/min, ambient temperature, and column Kromasil® C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm particle size) were
Retention time was 2.612 min and 6.960 min for TZN and MLX, respectively. Linearity of both drugs was assessed between the concentration ranges from 10 to 50 ng/mL. Both drugs showed considerable linearity with coefficient of correlation ($R^2$) of 0.998 for TZN and 0.997 for MLX (Figures 2, 3, and 4). Qi et al. in 2003 have developed a method for the isocratic determination of TZN HCl, and Vignaduzzo et al. in 2008, for MLX, using HPLC and reported the linearity with $R^2$ of 0.9998 and 0.9989, respectively. There were minor differences in the value of $R^2$, which may be due to the range difference selected for evaluation of linearity behavior [21, 22].

System Suitability Test. Suitability studies revealed that the studied parameters were satisfactory and peaks of both drugs showed good resolution with low %RSD (Table 1). System suitability is an important indicator of validated method. Shaji and Varkey have developed and validated the method for determination of MLX using piroxicam as an internal standard in human plasma. They have calculated tailing factor, retention time, resolution, and symmetry of the eluted peaks. The reported retention time was 6.9 min which was conceding with the determined retention time of the current study for MLX; however, currently reported results of other studied parameters were better [23]. Similarly, Puranik et al. have developed a method for simultaneous determination of TZN and valdecoxib and their finding for system suitability studies was similar to the findings of this project [24].

Intra-Day and Inter-Day Precision. The method was found to be accurate and precise, when studies were conducted for analysis. %RSD was in the range of 0.44% to 1.19% and 0.51% to 1.20% for intra-day and inter-day, respectively, declaring that the developed method was well within the acceptance criteria (Table 2) [25]. From the results, it was concluded that the method would be applicable and useful for the analysis and simultaneous determination of both TZN and MLX in animal models. Kaul et al. have developed a method for simultaneous determination of TZN and rofecoxib, and they have found the developed method accurate and precise as well as obtained comparable results with current studies [26].

Robustness. The developed method was found to be stable under minor variation in flow rate and mobile phase compositions. Minor deliberate changes in different experimental parameters such as flow rate ($\pm$5%) and methanolic contents of mobile phase ($\pm$0.2 units) did not significantly affect the recoveries, peak area, and retention time, indicating that the proposed method was robust. Chandra et al. in 2012 and Bandarkar et al. in 2009 reported the validity of their developed method. From the outcomes of the studies, they concluded that the developed method was robust and suitable for determination of TZN and MLX from spiked plasma as well as from prepared samples to study pharmacokinetics [27, 28].

Accuracy. Accuracy of the method was determined in terms of recovery studies and declared acceptable by falling in the criteria of 98% to 102% [26]. The method was found to be accurate, providing a high percentage of drug recoveries of both TZN (98.88%, 99.85%, and 99.86%) and MLX (99.84%, 98.17%, and 97.44%) for selected samples of 10, 30, and 50 ng/mL solutions (Table 3). Similar results of recovery studies were obtained by Siddiqui in 2001 and Velpandian et al. in 2000 for TZN HCl and MLX in their studies respectively [29, 30].

Specificity. Specificity of the developed method for the quantification and pharmacokinetics of drugs is very essential, as

Table 1. System suitability studies for developed method and values of calculated theoretical plate, tailing factor, resolution and retention times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Mean %RSD</th>
<th>Theoretical plates</th>
<th>Tailing factor</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Retention time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TZN HCl</td>
<td>11.548</td>
<td>0.0916</td>
<td>2298</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLX</td>
<td>8287</td>
<td>0.0978</td>
<td>3612</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USP recommendation</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&gt;2000</td>
<td>0.8 to 1.5</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
interruption of any excipients can effect the findings of the com-

pound under observation. Bae et al. in 2007 have developed a

method in human plasma for pharmacokinetic evaluation of

MLX and found it specific for the selected drug [31]. Similarly,

Ali et al. in 2014 have done specificity studies for their devel-

oped method in human plasma and found it specific for TZN, as

the method developed in the current studies was found specific

for MLX and TZN [1]. The developed method showed good se-

lectivity as no peak was detected at the retention times of both

drugs as illustrated in Figure 5.

LOD and LOQ. LOD and LOQ were also determined, and

for this purpose, samples having different concentration ranges

were analyzed by injecting 5 replicates of each injection. For

TZN, the minimum detectable quantity was about

0.50 ng/mL and the minimum quantifiable amount was

about 1.0 ng/mL, and for MLX, LOD and LOQ were approx-

imately 3.0 and 4.0 ng/mL, respectively. Results were compa-

able to those obtained by Ali and his coworkers in 2014 for
determination of TZN in rabbits plasma; however, the devel-

oped method showed better sensitivity for MLX as compared
to those studied by Hanif et al. in 2011 [1, 32].

Stability Studies of the Drug Containing Plasma. Three

freeze–thaw cycles of the stability studies were performed, and it

was observed that samples had remained quite stable for the se-

lected duration of stability studies. Samples of lower concen-
	rations have shown 98.32%, 97.47%, and 98.13% for TZN and

97.11%, 99.39%, and 98.1% for MLX, while samples of high

centrations have shown accuracy of 98.09%, 97.99%, and

98.41% for TZN and 98.63%, 97.89%, and 97.83% for MLX

for cycles 1, 2, and 3, respectively. In the three freeze–thaw cy-
cles, the average degradation was found to be less than 3% for

selected lower and high concentrations of the drugs. Bae et al.
in 2007 and Kukes et al. in 2016 have reported the plasma sta-

bility studies of MLX and TZN after performing freeze–thaw

stability studies [19, 31] indicating the suitability of the selected

method for plasma stability of the drugs.

Conclusion

The goal of the study was to develop a suitable method for

the simultaneous determination of TZN and MLX in blood

plasma. Attempt was successful as a suitable method was not

only developed but also validated successfully. All the parameters

such as linearity, accuracy, intra-day and inter-day precision, and

robustness were successfully validated and found to be fallen in

the range of acceptance criteria. In a nutshell, the method is use-

ful for the detection of TZN and MLX in blood plasma.

Compliance with Ethical Standard

All procedures performed in the current studies involving ani-
mals were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institu-
tional research committee. All animal experiments were

approved by the instructional animal research ethic committee of

Table 2. Intra-day and inter-day accuracy and precision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Actual amount</th>
<th>Intra-day (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Inter-day (mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>%RSD</td>
<td>%RSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZN HCl</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.977</td>
<td>1.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.991</td>
<td>0.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.986</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLX</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.996</td>
<td>0.637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.890</td>
<td>1.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.744</td>
<td>0.444</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Recovery studies of TZN HCl and MLX after repeated injection (n = 6) of known concentrations of drugs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Concentration (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Amount recovered</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>%RSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TZN HCl</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>98.88</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29.95</td>
<td>99.85</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49.93</td>
<td>99.86</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLX</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>99.84</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>98.17</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48.72</td>
<td>97.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Pictorial illustration for specificity of the developed method for TZN HCl and MLX HPLC chromatogram of blanked plasma (A), HPLC chromatogram of plasma spiked with TZN HCl and MLX (B), HPLC chromatogram of drugs samples taken from rabbits after administration of buccal films (C), and overlay chromatograms of blank plasma (D), sample showing specificity of the method.
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