

## ON FINITE $\Delta$ -SYSTEMS OF ERDŐS AND RADO

By

V. CHVÁTAL (Waterloo)

### 1. Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to give some new estimations for a problem stated in [1] and to show its connection with Ramsey's theorem.

First, we have to repeat necessary definitions from [1]:

"A system  $\Sigma_1: Y_v (v \in N)$  of sets  $Y_v$ , where  $v$  ranges over the index set  $N$ , is said to contain the system  $\Sigma_0: X_\mu (\mu \in M)$  if, for every  $\mu_0$  of  $M$ , the set  $X_{\mu_0}$  occurs in  $\Sigma_1$  at least as often as in  $\Sigma_0$ , i.e., if

$$|\{v: v \in N; Y_v = X_{\mu_0}\}| \cong |\{\mu: \mu \in M; X_\mu = X_{\mu_0}\}|.$$

If  $\Sigma_1$  contains  $\Sigma_0$  and, at the same time,  $\Sigma_0$  contains  $\Sigma_1$ , then we do not distinguish between the systems  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma_1$ .

The system  $\Sigma_0$  is called an  $(a, b)$ -system if it consists of  $a$  (not necessarily distinct) sets of cardinal  $b$ , i.e., if  $|M| = a$  and  $|X_\mu| = b$  for  $\mu \in M$ . The system  $\Sigma_0$  is called a  $\Delta$ -system if it has the property that the intersections of any two of its sets (not necessarily distinct sets but sets having distinct indices  $\mu$ ) have the same value, i.e. if for

$$\mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \in M; \quad \mu_0 \neq \mu_1; \quad \mu_2 \neq \mu_3$$

we always have  $X_{\mu_0} X_{\mu_1} = X_{\mu_2} X_{\mu_3}$ . More specifically,  $\Sigma_0$  is a  $\Delta (a)$ -system with kernel  $K$  if  $|M| = a$  and  $X_{\mu_0} X_{\mu_1} = K$  whenever  $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in M; \mu_0 \neq \mu_1$ .

... Expressions such as

$$(>a, \cong b)\text{-system, } \Delta(>a)\text{-system}$$

have their obvious meaning."

We shall concern ourselves only with  $a, b$  finite ( $1 \cong a, b < \aleph_0$ ).

Erdős and Rado proved that given any  $a, b$  there exists a least number  $f(a, b)$  such that every  $(>f, \cong b)$ -system contains a  $\Delta(>a)$ -system. In fact, they proved

$$a^{b+1} < f(a, b) \cong b! a^{b+1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2!a} - \frac{2}{3!a^2} - \dots - \frac{b-1}{b!a^{b-1}} \right)$$

and conjectured  $f(a, b) \cong c^b a^{b+1}$  for some absolute positive constant  $c$ .

We shall show

$$a^{b+1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{a} \right)^{\left[ \frac{b}{2} \right]} \cong f(a, b) \text{ for } a \text{ even}$$

$$a^{b+1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2a} - \frac{1}{2a^2} \right)^{\left[ \frac{b}{2} \right]} \cong f(a, b) \text{ for } a \text{ odd}$$

(Theorem 3). For  $a \geq b^2 - b + 1$ ,  $b \geq 3$ , we shall prove

$$f(a, b) \cong \frac{(b+1)!}{2b} a^{b+1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3a} - \frac{1}{3a^2} \right)$$

(Theorem 5).

We shall also show that the existence of  $f(a, b)$  is a consequence of Ramsey's theorem.

Erdős and Rado denoted by  $\Phi(a, b)$  the least number provided that every  $(> \Phi, \cong b)$ -system  $\Sigma: X_\mu (\mu \in M)$  which satisfies  $X_\mu \neq X_\nu$ , for  $\mu \neq \nu$  contains a  $\Delta(> a)$ -system. It is easy to see that

$$f(a, b) = a\Phi(a, b).$$

Hence, we shall confine ourselves only with systems of distinct sets.

One may "polarize" the notion of  $\Phi(a, b)$  in the following way:

Define  $\pi(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{b+1}, b)$  as the least number such that every  $(> \pi, \cong b)$ -system contains a  $\Delta(> a_j)$ -system with kernel  $K$ ,  $|K|=j$  for some  $j$ . Especially, put  $\pi(n, a, b) = \pi(n, a, a, \dots, a, b)$ .

Evidently,  $\pi(n, a, 1) = \pi(n, 1, b) = n$ .

## 2. Results

THEOREM 1.

$$(1) \quad \pi(n, a, 2) \cong na + \left[ \frac{n}{\left[ \frac{a+1}{2} \right]} \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{a}{2} \right]$$

where  $[x]$  is the greatest integer not exceeding  $x$ . Especially,  $\Phi(a, 2) \cong a^2 + a$  for  $a$  even,  $\Phi(a, 2) \cong a^2 + \frac{a-1}{2}$  for  $a$  odd.

THEOREM 2. If  $n=1, 2$  or  $a=2$  then in (1) the equality holds.

THEOREM 3. If  $n \leq a$  then

$$\pi(n, a, b) \cong \Phi(a, 2)^{\frac{b}{2}-1} \cdot \pi(n, a, 2) \quad \text{for } b \text{ even}$$

$$\pi(n, a, b) \cong \Phi(a, 2)^{\frac{b-1}{2}} \cdot n \quad \text{for } b \text{ odd.}$$

If  $n = ka + q$ ,  $k \geq 0$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq a$  then

$$\pi(n, a, b) \cong k \cdot \Phi(a, b) + \pi(q, a, b).$$

Especially,

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi(a, b) \cong a^b \left( 1 + \frac{1}{a} \right)^{\left[ \frac{b}{2} \right]} & \text{for } a \text{ even} \\ \Phi(a, b) \cong a^b \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2a} - \frac{1}{2a^2} \right)^{\left[ \frac{b}{2} \right]} & \text{for } a \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$