

## TWO NEGATIVE PARTITION RELATIONS

J. TAKAHASHI (Port Moresby)

Denote the ordinal  $\omega_1$  by  $\varrho$ . Hajnal has shown that the continuum hypothesis implies  $\varrho \cdot \omega \rightarrow (\varrho \cdot \omega, 3)^2$  and  $\varrho \cdot \varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \cdot \varrho, 3)^2$  [2]. In this paper, we consider set-theoretic hypotheses  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  (see Definition 2 below), whose conjunction is known to be weaker than the continuum hypothesis, and show that  $\varrho \cdot \omega \rightarrow (\varrho \cdot \omega, 3)^2$  follows from  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  (Theorem A), and that  $\varrho \cdot \varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \cdot \varrho, 3)^2$  follows from  $\Gamma$  (Theorem B).

We denote the cardinality of a set  $A$  by  $\text{card}(A)$ , the set of all functions from  $A$  into  $B$  by  $A \rightarrow B$ , and the set of all finite subsets of  $A$  by  $[A]^{<\omega}$ . We call an ordered pair  $\langle P, Q \rangle$  a *partition* of  $A$  if  $A = P \cup Q$  and  $P \cap Q = \emptyset$ .

Suppose  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are ordinals. Then  $\alpha + \beta$  and  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  are respectively the ordinal sum and product of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and  $[\alpha]^\beta$  denotes the set of all subsets of  $\alpha$  of order type  $\beta$ .

**DEFINITION 1.** If  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are ordinals, then we use the notation  $\alpha \rightarrow (\beta, \gamma)^2$  to abbreviate the statement that, for every partition  $\langle P, Q \rangle$  of  $[\alpha]^2$ , either there is an  $X \in [\alpha]^\beta$  such that  $[X]^2 \subseteq P$ , or there is an  $X \in [\alpha]^\gamma$  such that  $[X]^2 \subseteq Q$ . We write  $\alpha \rightarrow (\beta, \gamma)^2$  for the negation of  $\alpha \rightarrow (\beta, \gamma)^2$ .

### DEFINITION 2.

(a)  $\Gamma$  stands for the hypothesis that there exists a family  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\varrho]^\omega$  such that  $\text{card}(\mathcal{A}) = \varrho$  and  $\forall X \in [\varrho]^\varrho: \exists A \in \mathcal{A}: A \subseteq X$ .

(b)  $\Delta$  stands for the hypothesis that there exists a family  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \omega \rightarrow \omega$  such that  $\text{card}(\mathcal{Q}) = \varrho$  and

$$\forall f \in \omega \rightarrow \omega: \exists g \in \mathcal{Q}: \forall n < \omega: f(n) < g(n).$$

Both  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  are easy consequences of the continuum hypothesis.  $\text{MA}(\varrho)$  refutes both. Baumgartner has constructed a model of ZFC (Zermelo—

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1980). Primary 03E05; Secondary 04A20.  
*Key words and phrases.* Partition calculus, weakenings of the continuum hypothesis.

Fraenkel set theory with the axiom of choice) in which both  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  hold but the continuum hypothesis fails ([1], Theorem 6.7, p. 428; Remark 6.12(b), p. 432).

**THEOREM A.** *If the hypotheses  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  both hold, then  $\varrho \cdot \omega + (\varrho \cdot \omega, 3)^2$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$ . By  $\Gamma$  there exist sets  $A_\alpha \in [\varrho]^\omega$  ( $\alpha < \varrho$ ) such that

$$(1) \quad \forall X \in [\varrho]^\omega: \exists \alpha < \varrho: A_\alpha \subseteq X.$$

**CLAIM 1.** *There exist functions  $g_\zeta \in \omega \rightarrow [\varrho]^{<\omega}$  ( $\zeta < \varrho$ ) such that*

$$(2) \quad \forall f \in \omega \rightarrow \varrho: \exists \zeta < \varrho: \forall n < \omega: f(n) \in g_\zeta(n).$$

**PROOF.** By  $\Delta$  there exist families  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha \subseteq \omega \rightarrow [\alpha]^{<\omega}$  ( $\alpha < \varrho$ ) such that, for every  $\alpha < \varrho$ ,  $\text{card}(\mathcal{C}_\alpha) = \varrho$  and

$$\forall f \in \omega \rightarrow \alpha: \exists g \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha: \forall n < \omega: f(n) \in g(n).$$

Let  $\langle g_\zeta \mid \zeta < \varrho \rangle$  be an enumeration of the elements of  $\cup \{\mathcal{C}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \varrho\}$ .  $\square$

**CLAIM 2.** *There exist sets  $Q(\xi, k, n) \in [\xi]^{<\omega}$  ( $\xi < \varrho$  and  $k < n < \omega$ ) such that, for all  $\xi$  and  $k$ ,*

$$(3) \quad \forall \zeta < \xi: \exists m \geq k: \forall n > m: \forall \alpha \in g_\zeta(n): \\ [A_\alpha \subseteq \xi \Rightarrow Q(\xi, k, n) \cap A_\alpha \neq \emptyset],$$

and

$$(4) \quad \forall m > k: \forall \eta \in Q(\xi, k, m): \forall n > m: \\ Q(\xi, k, n) \cap Q(\eta, m, n) = \emptyset,$$

where the functions  $g_\zeta$  ( $\zeta < \varrho$ ) are as Claim 1.

**PROOF.** Suppose  $\xi < \varrho$  and  $k < n < \omega$ , and assume that the sets  $Q(\xi', k', n')$  have been defined for all  $\xi', k', n'$  such that  $\xi' < \xi$  or  $[\xi' = \xi \ \& \ k' = k \ \& \ n' < n]$ . Let  $\langle h_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  be an enumeration, independent of  $n$ , of all  $g_\zeta$  such that  $\zeta < \xi$ . Note that  $E = \cup \{h_i(n) \mid i < n\}$  is finite. Since

$$B = \cup \{Q(\eta, m, n) \mid k < m < n \ \& \ \eta \in Q(\xi, k, m)\} \in [\xi]^{<\omega},$$

one can choose  $\gamma_\alpha \in A_\alpha - B$  for each  $\alpha \in E$  with  $A_\alpha \subseteq \xi$ . Set

$$Q(\xi, k, n) = \{\gamma_\alpha \mid \alpha \in E \ \& \ A_\alpha \subseteq \xi\}.$$