

THE ACCURACY OF CAUCHY APPROXIMATION FOR THE WINDINGS OF PLANAR BROWNIAN MOTION

GYULA PAP (Debrecen) and MARC YOR (Paris)

Dedicated to Professor Endre Csáki on the occasion of his 65th birthday

1. Introduction

Consider a 2-dimensional Brownian motion $(Z_t, t \geq 0)$ starting from $Z_0 = (1, 0)$ (for simplicity), and let $(\theta_t, t \geq 0)$ denote the continuous determination of the argument of $(Z_u, u \leq t)$ around $(0, 0)$ as t evolves in $(0, \infty)$ such that $\theta_0 = 0$.

Spitzer [7] proved the celebrated result:

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\theta_t}{\log \sqrt{t}} < x \right) = G_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where G_0 denotes the standard Cauchy distribution function:

$$G_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We prove that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following expansion is valid:

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\theta_t}{\log \sqrt{t}} < x \right) = G_0(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{a_j}{(\log \sqrt{t})^j} G_j(x) + O((\log t)^{-k}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$G_j(x) = \frac{d^j}{dc^j} \Big|_{c=1} G_0 \left(\frac{x}{c} \right),$$

and the coefficients a_j can be computed explicitly. We remark that the Fourier–Stieltjes transform of the function G_j is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda x} dG_j(x) = (-|\lambda|)^j e^{-|\lambda|}.$$

Our method of proof relies upon the skew-product representation of planar Brownian motion, and changes of probabilities between the laws of Bessel processes, as indicated in [8], [9], [10]; in particular, this paper is an improvement of [10], which discusses only the case $k = 2$.

The spirit of our expansion is close to the Edgeworth type expansions in the central limit theorems, that is, to the asymptotic expansions of the distribution

function F_n of $(X_1 + \dots + X_n - a_n)/b_n$, where (X_n) is a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables, and $(a_n), (b_n)$ with $b_n > 0$ are sequences of constants such that F_n tends to some stable law. If $E|X_1|^2 < \infty$ then F_n tends to the standard normal law as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where $a_n = nEX_1$ and $b_n = \sqrt{nD^2X_1}$, and, if in addition, $E|X_1|^{k+2} < \infty$ with some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and Cramér's condition is fulfilled then

$$F_n(x) = \Phi(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} Q_j(x)n^{-j/2} + O(n^{-k/2}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where the functions Q_j are linear combinations of derivatives of the standard normal distribution function Φ with some coefficients depending on the moments of X_1 up to the $(2 + j)$ -th order. See Petrov [5], Bhattacharya and Ranga Rao [1]. The question of asymptotic expansion in case of a non-normal stable law is less studied; see Christoph [2], Christoph and Wolf [3]. For example, if X_1 has a symmetric law such that for the logarithm of its Fourier transform the following expansion is valid:

$$\log E[e^{i\lambda X_1}] = -|\lambda| + \sum_{j=2}^k d_j |\lambda|^j + O(|\lambda|^{k+1}) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then F_n (with $a_n = 0$ and $b_n = n$) tends to the standard Cauchy law G_0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and, if in addition, Cramér's condition is fulfilled then

$$F_n(x) = G_0(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tilde{Q}_j(x)n^{-j} + O(n^{-k}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where the functions \tilde{Q}_j are linear combinations of G_ℓ , $\ell = j + 1, \dots, 2j$. (See Christoph [2, Theorem 2] or Christoph and Wolf [3, Theorem 4.12 and Corollary 4.20].)

2. Expansion of the Fourier transform

Spitzer's convergence result (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E \left[\exp \left(\frac{i\lambda\theta_t}{\log \sqrt{t}} \right) \right] = e^{-|\lambda|} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The following statement gives an expansion of the Fourier transform of $\theta_t/\log \sqrt{t}$ in terms of the powers of $|\lambda|/\log \sqrt{t}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

2.2 PROPOSITION. *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $C_k > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and for every $t > 1$ we have*

$$\left| E \left[\exp \left(\frac{i\lambda\theta_t}{\log \sqrt{t}} \right) \right] - e^{-|\lambda|} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j \left(-\frac{|\lambda|}{\log \sqrt{t}} \right)^j \right| \leq C_k \frac{|\lambda|e^{-|\lambda|/2}}{(\log t)^k}$$