Development and Validation of a New RP-HPLC-UV Method for the Simultaneous Determination of Phenytoin Impurities, Benzophenone, and Benzil
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A new reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatographic method with ultraviolet detection (RP-HPLC-UV) for simultaneous determination of phenytoin impurities, benzophenone and benzil, was developed and validated according to the International Council for Harmonization (ICH) guidelines. Chromatographic separation was performed on a C₈ column using acetonitrile–1% acetic acid (60:40, v/v). The correlation coefficients of the calibration lines were greater than 0.999 with 95% confidence interval of y-intercept over the origin. The analytical method showed good precision, intra-day precision ≤1.00 and inter-day precision ≤1.53. The standard solution of each compound exhibited good stability 99.18–99.70%, after storage at room temperature for 24 h. The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were 0.0015 and 0.005 µg/mL, respectively. The resolution of the impurities was 2.935 ± 0.009. The proposed analytical method was successfully applied to determine the amount of benzophenone and benzil in marketed products. The amount of benzophenone was found at 3.09–5.91 × 10⁻⁷%, while benzil was not detected in the samples.
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Introduction

Drug impurities are generally classified into 3 categories; organic, inorganic impurities, and residual solvents. Based on structure similarities, organic impurities are often the matter of concern for the separation and purification of drug substances. Organic impurities of drugs are mostly derived from manufacturing or storage process [1] and may include starting materials, by-products, intermediates, and degradation products [2, 3], as well as isomeric impurity [4]. For example, citadiol is an intermediate of citalopram synthesis [5]. Impurities existing in drug products influence not only drug quality, but also patients’ safety, since they may exert undesired pharmacological or toxicological activities [6]. Based on safety and toxicological concerns, genotoxicity and general toxicity studies have to be conducted when impurities greater than qualification threshold are present in drug products [7, 8].

Phenytoin (5,5-diphenylimidazolidine-2,4-dione) is an anti-epileptic drug for the treatment of tonic-clonic seizures and partial seizures [9]. The drug is synthesized via benzil intermediate, which could remain with synthetic phenytoin, unless appropriate purification is performed. Moreover, during manufacturing and storage processes, degradation of phenytoin can generate benzophenone as a new compound. The possible impurities of phenytoin are shown in Figure 1. With a short term exposure of benzophenone, it reportedly caused irritations of eyes, respiratory tract, and skin [10]. Moreover, ingestion of benzophenone has been reported to increase the incidence of liver carcinogenicity and renal toxicity [11].

Drug impurities have been analyzed by a variety of techniques such as, ultraviolet–visible (UV–vis) spectrophotometry, high-performance thin-layer chromatography (HPTLC), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and capillary electrophoresis (CE). Among these methods, HPLC is the most popular method for quantitative analysis of drugs and impurities, due to its selectivity, precision, and accuracy [12, 13]. The United States Pharmacopeia, USP 30 [14], recommended an HPLC method to determine benzophenone using C₁₈ stationary phase. The amount of benzophenone in drug substances and drug products analyzed by the method is limited to 0.1%. Several methods to analyze phenytoin impurities have been investigated. For instance, Walsch et al. developed a spectrophotometric method to determine benzophenone impurity [10]. Another method using multi-wavelength UV-vis spectrophotometry was also developed for benzil and benzophenone impurities [15]. A polarographic method for benzophenone impurity in phenytoin products was also developed and validated as a very sensitive method for benzophenone co-existing with phenytoin [16]. However, HPLC methods for the simultaneous determination of benzophenone and benzil impurities in phenytoin have not been developed yet. Therefore, the objective of this study was to develop a simple, rapid, and accurate analytical method for simultaneous quantification of benzophenone and benzil in phenytoin products.

Experimental

Standard, Chemicals, and Reagents. Phenytoin sodium was purchased from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium), and benzophenone and benzil was from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Methanol and acetonitrile were of HPLC-grade,
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obtained from Wako Chemicals (Osaka, Japan). All other chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade. Ultra-purified water was generated by Milli-Q academic A10 with a 0.22-μm Millipak™ filter (Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany).

Instruments. Experiments were operated using a Prominence liquid chromatographic system (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) consisted of a quaternary pump liquid chromatograph (LC-20AT) with a degasser (DGU-20A3), a UV/Vis detector (SPD-20A), and a communication bus module (CBM-20A). Samples were introduced into the analytical system via 7725i Rheodyne® loop, 20 μL. The analytical column was packed with 5-μm Luster™ C8 (octylsilyl silica gel), 250 mm × 4.6 mm (Dikma Technologies Inc., Lake Forest, CA, USA). Mobile phases were composed of methanol or acetonitrile containing acetic acid. The flow rate of the mobile phase system was set at 1.0 mL/min at ambient temperature. The chromatograms were collected at 254 nm. All analyses were conducted using Shimadzu Labsolution software implemented in a personal computer, ESPRIMO (Fujitsu, Tokyo, Japan).

Method Development. Standard stock solutions of phenytoin sodium, benzophenone, and benzil were prepared in methanol and subsequently diluted to desired concentrations with 50% methanol. Mobile phase used in method development consisted of 50, 60, or 70% organic phase (methanol or acetonitrile) containing 1, 2, or 3% glacial acetic acid. The mobile phase was then filtered and degassed prior to use. The criteria for decision making were the resolution of compounds (greater than 2.0 as recommended by the USP), peak shape, and peak symmetry. In this study, the method was developed as functions of type of organic solvent (methanol or acetonitrile), amount of acetic acid (1–3%), and ratio of organic phase to aqueous phase (50–70%). The optimal method was developed as functions of type of organic solvent (methanol or acetonitrile), amount of acetic acid (1–3%), and ratio of organic phase to aqueous phase (50–70%). The optimal method was validated according to linearity and range of 0.1, 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8 μg/mL corresponding to 0.002–0.16% of phenytoin sodium for benzophenone and benzil standards. As recommended in Q2(R1) of the ICH guidelines, a minimum of 5 concentrations were tested. According to USP 30, the limit of benzophenone in samples should not exceed 0.1% of the corresponding phenytoin sodium. Therefore, the range of standard concentration has to be covered from reporting level, 0.05% compared to a parent drug, to 120% of the specification. In this study, 6 concentrations of the standards were evaluated according to linearity and range of 0.1, 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8 μg/mL corresponding to 0.002–0.16%. Each concentration was analyzed in triplicate.

Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation. Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ) were assessed according to the ICH guideline Q2(R1). There are several methods for determining LOD and LOQ such as, (1) signal-to-noise ratios, and (2) standard deviation of response and slope approaches. In this study, signal-to-noise ratios of 3:1 and 10:1 were employed for the determination of LOD and LOQ, respectively. From the lowest concentration of the linearity range, the standard solution was further diluted and injected to the HPLC system until the requirement had been met.

Method Application. Phenytoin sodium capsules and tablets were purchased in Bangkok, Thailand. Each sample was weighed and calculated with a minimum of 20 units to obtain an equivalent weight of the active ingredient. The equivalent weight of phenytoin sodium at 5 mg/mL of each sample was prepared in 50% methanol. The sample was then filtered through a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) syringe filter with 0.45-μm pore size (RaphiLe Bioscience, China). The filtrate was injected in triplicate into the HPLC system and quantified based on the calibration curve of each impurity. Finally, the amount of the impurities was converted into percentage relative to the actual value of phenytoin sodium.

Results and Discussion

Method Development. We aimed to develop an analytical method with a simple chromatographic condition for the simultaneous determination of impurities, benzophenone, and benzil, co-existing with phenytoin sodium in marketed products. As stated in USP 30, the mobile phase for related substances consisted of 0.05 M monobasic ammonium phosphate buffer pH 2.5, acetonitrile, and methanol (45:35:20) using C18 as a stationary phase. In this study, the method was developed as functions of type of organic solvent (methanol or acetonitrile), amount of acetic acid (1–3%), and ratio of organic phase to aqueous phase (50–70%). The optimal

Stability of Standard Solutions. To ensure accurate and precise results, the standard solutions under the experimental condition have to be stable. Standard solutions were freshly prepared at concentrations of 1 and 6 mg/mL in 50% methanol and immediately analyzed within 24 h at air-conditioned temperature (28–30 °C). The results were reported as percent remaining of 1 and 6 μg/mL for benzophenone and benzil, respectively.

Linearity and Range. Linearity and range were evaluated over 0.1–8 μg/mL (corresponding to 0.002–0.16% of phenytoin sodium) for benzophenone and benzil standards. As recommended in Q2(R1) of the ICH guidelines, a minimum of 5 concentrations were tested. According to USP 30, the limit of benzophenone in samples should not exceed 0.1% of the corresponding phenytoin sodium. Therefore, the range of standard concentration has to be covered from reporting level, 0.05% compared to a parent drug, to 120% of the specification. In this study, 6 concentrations of the standards were evaluated according to linearity and range of 0.1, 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8 μg/mL corresponding to 0.002–0.16%. Each concentration was analyzed in triplicate.

Percent Recovery. Recovery of extraction was determined by a standard addition method. Samples were spiked with known concentrations of 1 and 6 μg/mL. The extraction process was performed in accordance with a method applied for phenytoin capsules and tablets, as described later. Finally, the recovery of sample extraction was calculated as percentage relative to the total amount added.

Figure 1. Structure of phenytoin and its impurities (benzil and benzophenone)
method was selected based on the total analysis time (not exceeding 30 min) and the resolution of compounds (more than 2.0 recommended by Center for Drug Evaluation and Research) [18]. The stationary phase used in the study was C8, which has less hydrophobicity compared with C18. The advantage of using C8 over C18 is that a faster analysis time can be obtained for hydrophobic compounds. Based on the polarity of phenytoin and its impurities, C8 could be a better stationary phase to obtain a shorter total analysis time.

The separation of benzophenone and benzil was used as a critical point of the method development. At 50% methanol, the retention times of benzophenone and benzil were longer than 30 min. Shorter retention times were obtained, when 60% methanol was used as a mobile phase; however, the resolution of benzophenone and benzil was less than 2. Increasing concentration of methanol to 70%, co-elution of phenytoin was observed. In addition, resolution of benzophenone and benzil was less than 1.0. Good resolutions of the separation of phenytoin and its impurities were not achieved with the mobile phases consisting of methanol. The better resolution and lower pressure of the HPLC system were obtained when acetonitrile was employed instead. The advantages of acetonitrile over methanol were the shorter analysis time and better resolution. The resolution of benzophenone and benzil using 50, 60, and 70% acetonitrile were approximately 4.5, 2.8, and 1.1, respectively. Adding more amounts (1, 2, and 3%) of acetic acid into the mobile phase did not show any effects on the resolution; therefore, 1% acetic acid was constantly used for method validation. As a result of total analysis time and resolution of benzophenone and benzil over 2.0, a mixture of acetonitrile and 1% acetic acid in water (60:40) was shown to be the optimal condition for further experiment. The chromatographic parameters of benzophenone and benzil were examined with regard to relative standard deviation of retention time and peak area, resolution, tailing factor, number of theoretical plates, capacity factor, and selectivity factor, as summarized in Table 1. These parameters, resolution >2 and selectivity factor >1.1, assured that baseline separation of benzophenone and benzil can be obtained with low deviation by the developed method. Typical chromatogram of the analytical method is showed in Figure 2.

Table 1. Chromatographic parameters of the analytical method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Benzophenone$^a$</th>
<th>Benzil$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative standard deviation of retention time (%)</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative standard deviation of area (%)</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>1.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (mean ± sd)</td>
<td>1.095 ± 0.003</td>
<td>1.087 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of theoretical plate, N (mean ± sd)</td>
<td>15,647 ± 45.769</td>
<td>15,815 ± 75.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity factor, k' (mean ± sd)</td>
<td>2.816 ± 0.014</td>
<td>3.191 ± 0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selectivity factor, α (mean ± sd)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.133 ± 0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$At 1 μg/mL ($n = 6$).

Figure 2. Chromatogram of blank (A); 5 mg/mL phenytoin with 1 μg/mL benzophenone and 1 μg/mL benzil (B); LOD = 0.0015 μg/mL and LOQ = 0.005 μg/mL (C) using acetonitrile and 1% acetic acid (60:40) as a mobile phase

Method Validation. Validation of the analytical method was performed according to the ICH guideline Q2(R1). Validation parameters are listed in Table 2. Repeatability (intra-day precision of 1 and 6 μg/mL) was presented as percentage relative standard deviation (%RSD) and ranged between 0.49–1.00%. For inter-day precision, %RSD of both concentrations ranged from 0.85–1.53%. The percentage of extraction (%recovery) of impurities from sample matrix was examined using standard solutions containing known amounts of benzophenone and benzil. Benzophenone showed higher percent recovery compared with benzil, close to 100% (99.09 ± 0.21 and 100.28 ± 0.34 for low and high concentrations added, respectively). In the case of benzil, %recovery was lower (93.64 ± 0.98 and 95.56 ± 0.18, for low and high concentrations added, respectively). The low percent recovery of benzil may be due to adsorption of the substance within matrix or glassware.

Stability of the standard solutions was evaluated to ensure that the amounts of standard substances remain in the same amount throughout the analysis time. For the optimized method, benzophenone and benzil showed a good stability close to 100% compared with the fresh preparation. Linearity and range of both impurities showed good fit over 0.1–8 μg/mL with the correlation coefficients of 0.9989 and 0.9999 for benzophenone and benzil, respectively. This method showed a
sufficient linearity (≥0.999) according to Center for Drug Evaluation and Research [18], which is a better correlation coefficient than that with a reversed-phase HPLC (RP-HPLC) method published previously by Jeyaprakash et al., 0.995 [19]. Within 95% confident interval of the \( y \)-intercept, the regression lines of both compounds ranged across 0. Moreover, LOD and LOQ were evaluated on a basis of signal-to-noise ratio at 3:1 and 10:1, respectively.

A polarographic method for benzophenone impurity in phenytoin was developed by Razak et al. [16], where the impurity was detected at 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \, ng/mL, while the impurities of this method was detected at 1.5 ng/mL. Although LOD and LOQ of the present method were higher than polarographic method, the present method indicated a sufficient quantitative analysis in accordance with USP30 and BP 2007 requirements [14, 20]. This method can be applied to determine the concentration of benzophenone and benzil at 0.1 \, \mu g/mL with low percent RSD (0.42 and 0.26, respectively), which was lower than the polarographic method for benzophenone determination (0.4 \, \mu g/mL with %RSD of 2%). Several methods using UV–vis derivative spectrophotometry were also applied for benzophenone impurities in phenytoin products [10]. The LOD and LOQ of the spectrophotometric methods were relatively higher than the proposed method and the polarographic method, which ranged between 0.04–0.11 \, \mu g/mL and 0.13–0.34 \, \mu g/mL, respectively. This method was performed based on the calibration range over 0.1–8 \, \mu g/mL. For quantitative analysis of benzophenone impurities at lower concentrations, further verification should be carried out. At all events, our method is advantageous in that both impurities of benzophenone and benzil can be simultaneously measured.

**Method Application.** The proposed analytical method was applied to analyze the intermediate, benzil, and the degrade product, benzophenone, in marketed products. The chromatogram of phenytoin sodium capsule sample no. 1 was shown in Figure 3. Table 3 indicated that benzophenone, derived from the oxidation of phenytoin [21], was found in the marketed products of phenytoin at approximately 0.003–0.006% of the active ingredient. According to the requirement in USP30, benzophenone found in samples was less than the allowance, 0.1% [14]. In the case of benzil, no corresponding peak was detected in all samples.

**Table 3.** Amounts of impurities in the marketed products of phenytoin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Benzophenone</th>
<th>Benzil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% impurity (×10^{-3})</td>
<td>( \mu )g per cap/tab</td>
<td>% impurity (×10^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsule 1 (100 mg/cap)</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>5.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsule 2 (100 mg/cap)</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tablet 1 (50 mg/tab)</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \text{a} \)The amounts of impurities corresponding to 5 mg/mL phenytoin sodium (\( n = 3 \)).

\( \text{b} \)ND = not detected.
Conclusion

The developed analytical method was performed according to the ICH guideline. A simple simultaneous determination of phenytoin impurities, benzophenone and benzil has been obtained a complete separation with low deviation. The method was also applicable to analyze the impurities in the marketed products of phenytoin.
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